

STATEMENT OF PHYSICAL DISABILITIES.

EXHIBIT

A

(Part 2 of 2, pages 43b - 99)

TO :

PETITION TO IMPEACH 3 FEDERAL JUDGES


(Aug. 2024).

## OPEN LETTER TO THE JUDICIARY.

Dear Judge, scientific evidence has proven that unlimited use of wireless devices is causing children: Electrohypersensitivity EHS, brain damage, and other severe physical harm. Please consider the following facts when adjudicating cases of child misbehavior, since orders limiting the use of wireless devices can protect our children and can prevent recidivism.

- A) Use of cell phones is exposing children to microwave and ULF/ELF radiation at power levels exceeding the federal safety guidelines which cause harm even to adults. (1)
  - B) Exposure to this radiation has caused harm to teachers, to fire fighters, to police officers and to other adults. (2)
  - C) Use of wireless devices is exposing children to more than 250,000 uW/m<sup>2</sup> of microwave radiation, and exposure to less than 150,000 uW/m<sup>2</sup> of microwave radiation can cause EHS, and brain, eye, blood, nerve, heart, and DNA damage even to adults. (3)
  - D) Chronic exposure to the radiation emitted by wireless devices increases by 500% the children's risk of cancer and increases by 450% the risk of dying to children suffering of life-threatening illnesses. (4)
  - E) Addiction to wireless devices increases by more than 150% the risk of suicide and can cause the same problems caused by the use of drugs. (5)
  - F) In my family, several children were born with brain damage. The common thing in these children is that their mothers were users of cell phones while pregnant. The number of children with brain damage has increased from 11 in 10,000, to 11 in 50, and experts warn that the use of microwave devices by children and pregnant women is bound to leave no child without brain damage, and that by the time parents become aware of this danger, we are bound to lose many children. (6)
- Consideration of these facts during your efforts to protect our children is highly appreciated. If more evidence is necessary, I will be happy to oblige.

Respectfully,



Tel. (956) 583-7012

## THE EVIDENCE

- 1) On Oct. 2015, U.S. District Judge Edward Chen corroborated the fact that cell phones emit power levels of microwave radiation exceeding the federal safety guidelines. Cell phones emit more than 975 mG, L. Mardell and Carlberg, Mobile Phones, Cordless Phones and the Risk of Brain Cancer, Intl. Journal of Oncology 35, n 1 (July 2009). These levels cause harm even to adults, The Biominitiative Report (2007) pgs 6-9.
  - 2) Petition to Impeach 3 Federal Judges pgs 16, 17 posted on the section of Case Law and J. Mendoza at wirelesswatchblog.org
  - 3) Petition pgs 16-19, Id. See Open Letter to Parents and School Officials\*
  - 4) Section II of the Biominitiative Report (2007, 2012):.
  - 5) Carleigh Cooper, Cell Phones and the Dark Deception (2009) pg 97;  
Lyn McLean, The Force (2009) pgs 55, 66, 67; Katie Singer,  
An Electronic Silent Spring (2014) pgs 106, 108, 124, 184.
  - 6) Kerry Crofton, A Wellness Guide for the Digital Age (2014) pgs 14, 15, 39.  
Autism Awareness in the Valley, the Monitor, April 6, 2014 at 13D.
- \* Attached.

3270

# Newly Published Studies on Electrical Sensitivity

## Details of the California EMF Program's Survey

In the September 2000 issue of *No Place To Hide* we gave a preliminary report on the California EMF Program's survey on electrical sensitivity. The full text, which was released July 13, 2001 as an appendix to the program's Risk Evaluation, contains more epidemiological data about ES than has ever been gathered before.

These data were the results of telephone interviews with

a random sampling of 2,072 Californians. 68 respondents (3.3%) said they were electrically sensitive, while 503 respondents (24.3%) said they were chemically sensitive. In addition, 3.6% of the respondents had medically diagnosed chemical sensitivity.

Of the respondents reporting electrical sensitivity, 60% also reported chemical sensitivity. Of the respondents reporting chemical sensitivity, only 8.4% also reported electrical sensitivity.

15.6% of the electrically sensitive respondents had changed jobs because of their electrical environment.

Extrapolation to the entire population of California means that an estimated 120,000 adult Californians have changed jobs as a result of their electrical sensitivity, said the authors of the study. The accompanying table compares the characteristics of persons with electrical sensitivity (ES), persons with chemical sensitivities (MCS), and the average participant in this survey.

The California EMF Program has operated since 1993 as a part of the California Department of Health Services.

## Health Effects Near Cell Towers

The numbers of the affected are far greater than previously recognized.

Dr. Roger Santini analyzed the responses to a questionnaire of 530 residents of France who live at varying distances from cell towers. 104 of these respondents live less than 10 meters from a cell tower. Another 109 live more than 300 meters from the nearest tower, and the rest live at varying distances in between. Universally, the closer to a cell tower they live, the more likely are these people to complain of: fatigue, irritability, headache, nausea, loss of appetite, sleep

	persons with ES %	persons with MCS %	general population %
<b>Age, Years</b>			
18-24	11.8	9.9	10.6
25-34	23.5	21.3	23.5
35-44	25.0	23.3	25.1
45-54	16.2	17.5	16.7
55-64	5.9	15.0	10.3
>65	17.6	13.0	13.9
<b>Gender</b>			
Male	41.2	29.1	49.6
Female	58.8	70.8	50.4
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
White	28.4	52.2	60.4
Black	3.0	7.2	5.4
Hispanic	46.3	31.8	25.3
Other (Asian, etc.)	22.4	8.7	8.9
<b>Education</b>			
<12 years	33.8	19.7	13.9
high school graduate or some college	44.2	52.5	50.1
university graduate	22.1	27.3	36.0
<b>Employment Status</b>			
Employed	45.4	54.9	65.6
Not working	40.7	41.1	31.5
Unable to work	13.6	3.6	3.0
<b>Income (\$/year)</b>			
<15,000	41.3	26.7	17.5
15,000-24,000	22.2	16.9	13.9
>25,000	36.6	56.4	68.5
<b>Health Plan</b>			
Yes	61.8	76.7	81.7
No	28.2	23.3	18.3
<b>Disease History</b>			
Asthma	13.2	28.3	13.8
Hay Fever/Allergies	61.8	72.6	49.8
Medically Diagnosed Chemical Sensitivity	19.1	8.3	3.6

[Print](#)

## Recommendations for Accommodations

People with chemical and/or electromagnetic sensitivities can experience debilitating reactions from exposure to extremely low levels of common chemicals such as pesticides, cleaning products, fragrances, and remodeling activities, and from electromagnetic fields emitted by computers, cell phones, and other electrical equipment.

The severity of sensitivities varies among people with chemical and/or electromagnetic sensitivities. Some people can enter certain buildings with minor accommodations while others may be so severely impacted that they are unable to enter these same spaces without debilitating reactions. Furthermore individual tolerances to specific exposures can vary greatly from one individual to the next. Meanwhile some exposures, such as the application of certain pesticides or extensive remodeling, for example, may be devastating to all chemically sensitive people and make a building or facility inaccessible for a substantial period of time.

According to the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and other disability laws, public and commercial buildings are required to provide reasonable accommodations for those disabled by chemical and/or electromagnetic sensitivities. These accommodations are best achieved on a case-by-case basis.

Reasonable accommodations for a chemically sensitive and/or electromagnetically sensitive individual can include providing a space or meeting area that addresses one or more of the Cleaner Air criteria, upon request, such as


- Remove fragrance-emitting devices (FEDS)
- Delay or postpone indoor or outdoor pesticide applications, carpet cleaning, or other cleaning or remodeling until after the meeting
- Provide room or meeting area near exterior door or with window(s) that can be opened
- Require cell phones and computers be turned off
- Provide incandescent lighting in lieu of fluorescent lighting
- Provide at least one nonsmoking, fragrance-free person per shift to provide services (e.g. nurse, police officer, security guard, clerk )

For individuals who are unable to use or meet in a building or facility, or who are too severely impacted by chemical and/or electromagnetic exposures to use a designated Cleaner Air Room, accommodations may include:

- Meet an individual at the door or outside to conduct business
- Allow a person to wait outside or in car until appointment
- Provide a means, such as a phone, intercom, bell, or buzzer to summon staff to an outside door for assistance
- Permit business to be conducted by phone, fax, mail, or e-mail rather than in person
- Allow participation in a meeting by speakerphone

## Medical pioneer writes a history of environmental hypersensitivities


André Fauteux | 1 août 2016 | 0 Commentaires



Dr Rea founded the Dallas-based, Environmental Health Center in 1974.

Errors in diagnosis and treatment of diseases are common in physicians ignoring the principles of environmental medicine. They should be aware that various tests developed since the early 1980s "take the guess work out of the diagnosis" of chemical and electrical and electromagnetic hypersensitivity, writes Dr William J. Rea in a new paper published in Reviews on Environmental Health<sup>1</sup>. A practising physician for 53 years, the American allergist, immunologist and thoracic surgeon has performed such tests on more than 30,000 patients in the last 35 years at the Environmental Health Center-Dallas (EHCD) he founded and still heads in Texas. These tests must be performed in controlled environments where the levels of biological, chemical and electromagnetic pollution has been reduced to a minimum using notably air filters, inert construction materials and copper shielding.

While food intolerance was first described by Hippocrates more than 2,000 years ago, microwave-illness (known today as electromagnetic hypersensitivity) was only first described in 1932 by Dr Erwin Schliephake who diagnosed patients overexposed to AM radiofrequencies; and American allergist Theron Randolph first described chemical hypersensitivity in 1962 based on tests performed in a controlled environment.



Dr Rea speaking in Brussels in 2015 at a conference on environmental sensitivities. Download slides at : [http://appel-de-paris.com/?page\\_id=1667&lang=en](http://appel-de-paris.com/?page_id=1667&lang=en) (Click to enlarge)

Dr Rea's article explains principles such as total body pollutant load and masking of sensitivities by the general adaptation syndrome first described by University of Montreal endocrinologist Hans Selye, the first scientist to demonstrate the existence of biological stress. Biochemical individuality, the switch phenomenon (symptom variation), bipolar responses, spreading reactions to other organs, and nerve as well as head injury leading to hypersensitivity are the other principles discussed. Out of up to 3,000 psychological profiles performed by psychologists Butler and Didriksen at the University of North Texas on chemically sensitive patients, about "2,000 showed brain injury, not psychological conditions".

Buildings and rooms free of offgassing materials and electromagnetic fields (EMFs) are key to diagnosing and treating environmental hypersensitivities. "Clean living accounts for 60-75% of treatment", writes Dr Rea. At a conference on environmental hypersensitivities last year in Brussels, he once saw a patient return to the EHCD two decades after he had successfully treated her: "She got cocky and returned to her old toxic lifestyle."



Volatile solvent blood test results. (Click to enlarge)

He explains in the article abstract: "The clinician has to use less-polluted water and organic food with individual challenges for testing, including dust, mold, pesticide, natural gas, formaldehyde, particulates, and EMF testing, which needs to be performed in less-polluted copper-screened rooms. The challenge tests for proof of chemical sensitivity include inhaled toxics within a clean booth that is chemical- and particulate-free at ambient doses in parts per million (ppm) or parts per billion (ppb). Individual foods, both organic and commercial (that are contaminated with

herbicides and pesticides), are used orally. Water testing and intradermal testing are performed in a less-polluted, controlled environment. These include specific dose injections of molds, dust, and pollen that are preservative-free, individual organic foods, and individual chemicals, i.e. methane, ethane, propane, butane, hexane, formaldehyde, ethanol, car exhaust, jet fuel exhaust, and prosthetic implants (metal plates, pacemakers, mesh, etc.). Normal saline is used as a placebo. EMF testing is performed in a copper-screened room using a frequency generator. In our experience, 80% of the EMF-sensitive patients had chemical sensitivity when studied under less-polluted conditions for particulates, controlled natural gas, pesticides, and chemicals like formaldehyde."

### ORAL VITAMINS

VITAMINS B<sub>1</sub>, B<sub>2</sub>, B<sub>3</sub>, B<sub>5</sub>, B<sub>6</sub> - 100 MG DAILY

FOLIC ACID - 1 MG - TWO TIMES PER WEEK

VITAMIN E 400 - 1200 UNITS DAILY

β-CAROTENE 10,000 - 50,000 UNITS DAILY

Typical prescriptions for vitamin-deficient patients with environmental hypersensitivities. (Click to enlarge) Besides blood and urine analysis, Dr Rea and other members of the American Academy of Environmental Medicine perform various other tests: brain SPECT scans detecting brain toxicity, heart rate variability and pupillography measuring autonomic nervous system disturbances, infrared thermography, nutrient levels showing abnormal detoxification mechanisms, various immune modulation tests and breath analysis.

This article should be read by all physicians and health care practitioners.

1. History of chemical sensitivity and diagnosis, Rev Environ Health 2016, DOI: 10.1515/reveh-2015-002 Review by André Fauteux, Editor of *La Maison du 21e siècle* (21st-century Housing) magazine [www.maisonsaine.ca/english](http://www.maisonsaine.ca/english)

Articles similaires:

1. Smart Meters: Correcting the Gross Misinformation
2. Bioresonance : healing with waves
3. Microwaves can cause cancer or be beneficial – Prof. Igor Belyaev

Mots-clé: chemical, electrohypersensitivity, electromagnetic, microwave, mold, pesticides, solvents  
Catégorie: Articles en anglais, Hypersensibilités environnementales



Go to ... [Complete Reference](#) | [Help](#) | [Logoff](#)

Citation 3

**Accession Number**

093070410

**Authors**

Rea W J. Pan Y. Fenyves E J. Sujisawa I. Samadi N. Ross G H.

**Institution**

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH CENT., DALLAS 8345 WALNUT HILL LANE, SUITE 2052,  
DALLAS,  
TEX. 72231.

**Title**

ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD SENSITIVITY.

**Source**

Journal of Bioelectricity 10 (1-2). 1991. 241-256.


**Abstract**

A multiphase study was performed to find an effective method to evaluate electromagnetic field (EMF) sensitivity of patients. The first phase developed criteria for controlled testing using an environment low in chemical, particulate, and EMF pollution. Monitoring devices were used in an effort to ensure that extraneous EMF would not interfere with the tests. A second phase involved a single-blind challenge of 100 patients who complained of EMF sensitivity to a series of fields ranging from 0 to 5 MHz in frequency, plus 5 blank challenges. Twenty-five patients were found who were sensitive to the fields, but did not react to the blanks. These were compared in the third phase to 25 healthy naive volunteer controls. None of the volunteers reacted to any challenge, active or blanks, but 16 of the EMF-sensitive patients (64%) had positive signs and symptoms scores, plus autonomic nervous system changes. In the fourth phase, the 16 EMF-sensitive patients were rechallenged twice to the frequencies to which they were most sensitive during the previous challenge. The active frequency was found to be positive in 100% of the challenges, while all of the placebo tests were negative. We concluded that this study gives strong evidence that electromagnetic field sensitivity exists, and can be elicited under environmentally controlled conditions.





you pray for Martin Pall, Ph.D. for safely on his trip overseas, for the truth to come out regarding the cause of symptoms of Environmental Illness and what treatments are best. Thank you.



Dr. Rea at the AAEM Conference, fall 2007

**DR. WM. J. REA NEEDS YOUR SUPPORT AND PRAYERS**

October 28, 2008

Dear Friends,

I am reaching out to you, today, asking for your support of Dr. William Rea and for the future of the Environmental Health Center - Dallas. Perhaps at EHC-D you have learned about your health and your environment, perhaps you have recovered quality to your life or, like me, you have received life-saving treatment from the devastating effects of severe chemical injury. Many, many of us, in fact thousands of people from around the world who suffer from chemical injury and environmental sensitivity have found a refuge

of understanding, appropriate and effective medical treatment and a return to functionality and good health, solely through the innovative knowledge and medical care of Dr. Rea.

Friends, now is the time to offer your support for Dr. Rea, this pioneering physician of Environmental Medicine and provider of a 30-year foundation of knowledge for which the treatment of chemical injury and sensitivity is built. For the past three years, the Texas Medical Board, acting under pressure from insurance companies, is trying to disallow the practice of Environmental Medicine by relentlessly attacking the integrity and professional knowledge of Dr. Rea. And, now, that of many other physicians who also offer Environmental Medical treatment. This is an exhaustive and costly endeavor for Dr. Rea to continue championing your right for access to appropriate and effective treatment for chemical sensitivity and injury. A legal defense fund is being created to sustain Dr. Rea's legal representation and to help insure that Environmental Medicine has the respected and enduring future that it rightly deserves. You can contribute your donation to the:

**"William J. Rea Legal Defense Fund"**  
Attn: Ellie, 8345 Walnut Hill Lane, Suite 220  
Dallas, Texas 75231

Your effort to help Dr. Rea is both meaningful and needed, no matter how small or how large your contribution may be. The entire amount of your donation will be used exclusively for Dr. Rea's legal defense. This letter comes to you with the full endorsement of Dr. Rea and is an official communication of the Environmental Health Center - Dallas. Thank you for your generous support of Dr. Rea and for your unity in the preservation of Environmental Medicine. With Warm Regards, Barbara Pond  
Staff/Author EHC-D Newsletter  
Environmental Health Center - Dallas

**LETTERS, WE GET LETTERS,  
LOTS AND LOTS....**

Dear Janet, Thank you for your selfless concern for others. After a long journey of many years, I finally got my license as a psychologist. I am enclosing my business cards for you. God makes miracles every day. When I had E.I. so bad for years, I never thought

[www.next-up.org/NewsOftheworld/2012.php](http://www.next-up.org/NewsOftheworld/2012.php)  
[www.badische-zeitung.de/wehr/zur-erholung-ins-funkloch-55488677.html](http://www.badische-zeitung.de/wehr/zur-erholung-ins-funkloch-55488677.html)

## German judge is electrosensitive, seeks refuge in EMF-White Zone

An electrosensitive judge from Augsburg finds refuge in the Wehra Valley (German Black Forest).

An electrosensitive (EHS) judge from Augsburg finds refuge in the Wehra Valley (German Black Forest)

Rain splattered on the roof of the little white camper. Although Barbara has turned up the heater, the cold of winter creeps along the ground and relentlessly wraps around our feet. Barbara Domberger is on a forced vacation in Wehra Valley. The rugged gorge is one of the few havens left for the electrosensitive.

She recalls she once still had a few secluded holiday areas where she could retire, but gradually, they have disappeared. "The digital network is currently our biggest problem," she says. The 43-year-old District Judge is on the run - from harmful emitters, mostly microwaves from mobile phone networks. "I've become electrosensitive," she says.

The judge is convinced: when she's exposed to artificial electromagnetic fields for too long, like those from cordless phones or wireless internet connections, she gets sick. She feels artificially invaded, unable to sleep and concentrate. "And all my muscles harden." Her family doctor says it's from too much stress.

It took three or four years of suffering before an old school friend put her on the right track, says Domberger. Back then the concept of electrical sensitivity was unknown. That's changed: Domberger has read many studies on her condition and has developed contacts with people who share her plight. She chairs the Munich Society for the electrosensitive and mobile-phone injured.



Barbara Domberger in his camper

She is very convincing when she easily refutes the arguments of the mobile phone industry. She knows that many prefer sticking her with a psychosomatic label. If that was the case, then how can one explain that her heart rate changes as soon as she enters a room filled with radiation? Domberger says it's a clear medical evidence.

Fear of radiation dominates her life. The once-successful young woman had to abandon her condominium with 17 a square-meter rooftop terrace near downtown Augsburg. "The apartment couldn't be shielded," she says. Her furniture is stored. She's looking for a small apartment in low-radiation area, which she could shield with special reflective paint.

She only goes out when necessary. For shopping, of course. But a movie or the theater? "I used to like going to restaurants," says Domberger. Today, she even turns down birthday invitations, because almost everyone has a cordless phone at home. "I miss the contact with ordinary people," she says with a sigh.

Since January 2010, the District Judge is on sick leave. The state of Bavaria is considering putting her on permanent disability.

Barbara Domberger was in charge of the elderly and disabled. She'd love to work again. "Being a judge was my dream job." For eight years, she worked at the District Court of Augsburg, and before that six years as a prosecutor, also for the Federal Ministry of Justice. Now she's fighting for electrosensitivity to be recognized as a disease and to obtain help for those affected.

Today she's resting in the Wehra Valley. "Here I feel really good, as I did before," she says with a smile.

Very little radiation gets through where she parked her white camper, as opposed to airports or railway stations. A holiday trip in the hot South? Only by car. For longer trips, she bought a special blouse, woven with reflective silver fiber. It cost her 90 Euros. "Most people with EHS can't afford it," says Domberger who asked us not to divulge the exact location of her retreat.

# No Place To Hide

Volume 3, Number 3

June 2002

## Gro Harlem Brundtland, Director of World Health Organization: "Cell Phones, Computers Make Me Ill"

"It's not the sound, but the waves I react to. My hypersensitivity has gone so far that I even react to mobile phones closer to me than about four meters," says Gro Harlem Brundtland.

She is the Director-General of the World Health Organization (WHO), and she was talking to Aud Dalsegg, who interviewed her for the cover story of the Norwegian newspaper *Dagbladet* on March 9, 2002.

The former Prime Minister of Norway never owned a mobile phone herself, but she often received calls on her associates' phones. Now she says there is reason to be cautious about the technology.

"In the beginning I felt a local warmth around my ear," she told Dalsegg. "But the problem grew worse, and turned

into a strong discomfort and headaches every time I used a mobile phone." At first she tried to avoid the pain by cutting her calls short, but this did not work. Nor was it sufficient to stop using the phones herself, because everyone around her, including at her workplace at the WHO in Geneva, uses them.

"I gradually understood that I had developed a sensi-

tivity to this type of radiation.

"And in order not to be suspected of being hysterical—that someone should believe that this was only something I imagined—I have made several tests: People have been in my office with their mobile phone hidden in their bag or pocket. Without my knowing whether it was off or on, we have tested my reactions. I have always reacted when the phone has been on—never when it is off. So there is no doubt."

As for wireless home phones, Brundtland said, "I get an instant reaction if I touch such a phone."



She also spoke about her reactions to computers:

"If I hold a laptop in order to read what is on the screen, it feels as if I get an electric shock up through my arms. So I must keep portable computers away from me. I have a regular desktop computer in my office, but only the secretary uses it. I have not noticed the same symptoms near it, but I turn it off as soon as I come in."

The headaches she gets from mobile phone radiation subside about a half hour to an hour after the exposure stops, she said.

A medical doctor and master of public health, Brundtland gained international recognition in the 1980s for championing the principle of sustainable development as chair of the World Commission on Environment and Development (the Brundtland Commission). In October 1996 she stepped down as Prime Minister of Norway, after being head of her government for more than ten years. She has headed the World Health Organization since July 12, 1998.

Brundtland was careful, in the interview, to say that the danger from mobile phones has not been scientifically proven: "We do not at present have enough scientific evi-

*continued on page 6*

# Ex-WHO General-Director and Ex-Prime Minister of Norway Wireless Technology Has Negative Health Effects. There Is No Doubt.

EXHIBIT NO. 18E  
PAGE: 661 OF 2622

On Friday the 14th of August 2015, retired WHO General-Director and Prime Minister of Norway, Dr. Gro Harlem Brundtland, was interviewed by the Norwegian newspaper Aftenposten (<http://www.aftenposten.no/nyheter/iriks/Brundtland---Min-kropp-har-reagert-pa-mobilstraling-i-25-ar-8125147.html>). One of the issues raised in the interview was her current thoughts on wireless radiation. Her statement was crystal clear. Above is the relevant clip from the interview, subtitled in English by Citizens' Radiation Protection, Norway. We are grateful to Gro, for her clear and honest response.

Ex-WHO General Director Warns: "Wireless Tech..."



BioEM 2015 Meeting, June 14-19,  
2015  
Plenary Session presentation by

3564



# ELECTROSMOG DOCTOR

## The doctor who diagnosed her own electrosensitivity

GP Dr Elizabeth Evans turned her health around after discovering she was sensitive to electrosmog. Here's her story, as told to Guy Hudson, and what you can do to treat electrosensitivity

I had just had my fourth child, and already had three other children aged under six, when I started to notice that I couldn't sleep, felt 'wired', and was becoming more and more anxious.


After 12 weeks, when the baby started sleeping through the night, I continued to have problems sleeping. We then moved to rented accommodation and my symptoms got worse. That first night I could not get to sleep. Eventually I slept for about two hours and, when I awoke, I had a racing heart. It felt like an anxiety attack but in my body, not my head.

Over the next few weeks, I became increasingly bad-tempered and irritable. I noticed I was forgetting words when talking on the cordless phone and, when sitting next to our router, I felt a pressure sensation in my head and ear. For the first two weeks our landline wasn't connected, so I had to use my mobile a lot; my laptop also had a 3G dongle.

I was getting headaches and felt pressure in my head whenever I used a cordless phone, and if someone was using a mobile nearby in the playground, I would feel pain in the muscles across my back. But whenever I went for a walk in the middle of the countryside, I began to feel normal again.

### Something in the air

Eventually I realized that something in my environment was causing these symptoms and began to work it out: we had a new digital baby monitor and a new Wi-Fi router directly below our bed. I was using a mobile-phone dongle on my laptop and our rented house was in direct view of a mobile-phone mast. By then I was severely incapacitated. I was sensitive to the electrosmog in town and became almost housebound trying to



Once we'd got rid of the Wi-Fi router and thrown away the baby monitor, my symptoms started to improve.

avoid wireless radiation. Luckily I was able to identify the problem because the time between my symptoms easing or going away and any reduced exposure to electrosmog was short. It's far more difficult to identify electrosmog as the trigger if your symptoms take a long time to appear. Soon I was able to really see which signals were causing the problem.

I suspect I was slightly electrosensitive before the symptoms really hit me; I had always resisted Wi-Fi and didn't like using my mobile phone.

After my fourth pregnancy and

breastfeeding, I was clearly run down and susceptible, and the massive increase in my exposure to wireless radiation coinciding at this time was the likely cause of my dramatic symptoms. I couldn't go to other peoples' homes; I couldn't even be in our living room because of the neighbour's Wi-Fi. Some areas of the house made me feel particularly unwell whereas other places, like the hall, were fine and I naturally gravitated to them. Subsequently we were able to confirm that microwave levels were lower in these neutral areas.

### Detective work

Once I suspected I was sensitive to electrosmog, I began searching on the internet to find out more about electrosensitivity and its symptoms—which range from headaches, heart arrhythmias, depression and mood swings to lack of concentration, allergies and even tinnitus.

We also immediately got rid of the Wi-Fi router and got a wired router instead and, after throwing away the baby monitor, my symptoms started to improve. We then had an electromagnetic survey done and got advice on how to further avoid ambient microwaves. I avoided hotspots and also tried various forms of shielding. I bought specially designed clothes from the US made from materials that block radiation, which helped me on the school run. Next we concentrated on the bedroom, where we installed a bed net and put shielding material over the

## Once I started sleeping better, my health and tolerance of wireless radiation outside the home began to improve

windows; if you create a radiation-free sleeping environment, you have the best chances of healing.

Like many electrosensitive people, I had had a previous environmental condition—in my case, two years of myalgic encephalomyelitis/chronic fatigue syndrome (ME/CFS) in the 1990s—from which I fully recovered by addressing adrenal fatigue through a combination of nutritional therapy, homeopathy, acupuncture and osteopathy.

That experience made me realize that the body has an amazing power to heal itself when given the right support and nourishment; it also gave me an interest in the various complementary treatments available.

I joined the British Society of Ecological Medicine in 1999 and attended several of their teaching modules on allergies and environmental and nutritional medicine over the last few years. With my four children, I have learned a lot about the natural approach to health by treating their various illnesses and health complaints.

Once I started sleeping better, my

health and tolerance of wireless radiation outside the home began to improve. I then began an intensive nutritional/supplement programme to support my adrenal glands. This often consists of boosting key hormones like cortisol, insulin and aldosterone by eating lots of eggs, dairy, nuts and seeds, and good fats like butter and coconut oil, while avoiding sugar (including from too much fruit) and refined carbs like white bread and rice.

The final piece of the puzzle Supplementing with B-complex vitamins, and *Chlorella* and wild yam can also help. I also found that Nambudripad's Allergy Elimination Techniques (NAET)—an East-meets-West blend of non-invasive healing practices like spine manipulation and acupressure/acupuncture to clear away

allergies and sensitivities of all sorts (see box, top right)—speeded up my recovery considerably. The final piece of the healing jigsaw was moving to our currently electrosmog-free home.

Since then, I have trained as an NAET practitioner, as I was very excited by the dramatic effect it had on my health. Most NAET practitioners in the US are qualified medical doctors, often allergy specialists whereas, in the UK, most are complementary practitioners.

I would advise anyone with an environmental

or chronic illness to clear their home of all wireless and other electromagnetic-field-generating devices. They can stress out your system, which reduces your ability to heal.

One reason why conventional doctors generally overlook the possibility of patients' complaints is that they usually confine their questions to their patients' symptoms, not their environment. They are not trained to ask about the presence of baby monitors, Wi-Fi and cordless phones, and the use of mobiles, and they never visit their patients' homes.

Without this line of questioning, it's virtually impossible to identify whether a patient is electrosensitive.


And as the idea of electrosensitivity is not taught in medical school, unfortunately most doctors are unaware of it.

### What is NAET?

NAET (pronounced 'nate') stands for Nambudripad's Allergy Elimination Techniques, and was developed by Dr. Nambudripad, a chiropractor and acupuncturist based in California. The drug-free system uses kinesiology to diagnose, and acupressure/acupuncture to treat, allergic-type responses. NAET defines an allergy as a negative reaction to any agent that for most people would be neutral or even beneficial. It's a technique that can help with most conditions, not just the usual food allergies and hay fever.

NAET seeks to reduce allergic reactions and sensitivities by balancing and unblocking Qi (Chi) energy to help the body heal itself, using a blend of techniques like acupuncture/acupressure, chiropractic, nutrition and kinesiology. One allergen is treated at a time. It may take several visits to desensitize a severe allergen.

Beginning in 1983, over 12,000 practitioners all over the world have been trained by Dr. Nambudripad.



### All about Dr Liz

Elizabeth now leads a very full life as the mother of four children and as a NAET practitioner, working with patients who have a wide range of conditions, including environmental allergies, chronic fatigue and electrosensitivity. She has also co-founded Stop Smart Meters (UK) ([www.stopsmartmeters.org.uk](http://www.stopsmartmeters.org.uk)), an organization with links to other Stop Smart Meters movements worldwide. This campaigning group aims to stop the use of meters that monitor, measure and communicate your gas, electricity and water usages to utility providers wirelessly through constant bursts of microwave, 24/7.

In this role, she gave evidence last year to the House of Commons Select Committee overseeing the UK Smart Meters rollout on the adverse health effects of wireless radiation. She is also medical advisor to the Safe Schools Information Technology Alliance (SSITA; [www.ssita.org.uk](http://www.ssita.org.uk)), which campaigns against Wi-Fi and mobile phones in schools to protect children from the adverse effects of wireless radiation, and has given lectures on these topics on several occasions. She can be contacted on [liznich@hotmail.com](mailto:liznich@hotmail.com). Other British GPs who recognize and treat electrosensitivity include Dr Erica Mallery, Brighton (based in the US) and Somerset-based Dr Andrew Tresidder.

### Physicist Guy Hudson is a

electromagnetic surveyor who helps consumers lower their exposure to excessive radiation and protect themselves from electrosensitivity, particularly to dirty electricity.

See [www.enrto.co.uk](http://www.enrto.co.uk) and [www.wiles.co.uk](http://www.wiles.co.uk) for more information.

**Commonwealth of Massachusetts  
Testimony in Support of:**

**H.2030 *An Act relative to best management practices for wireless in schools and public institutions of higher education***

**S.2079 *An Act reducing non-ionizing radiation exposure in schools***

Submitted By: XXXXXXXXXXXX, MD, DTM&H on 9.4.2017

Submitted To: Joint Committee on Education

Committee Members, Sponsor, Co-Sponsors, MA Department of Public Health, MA Department of Elementary and Secondary Education, MA Attorney General's Office and Governor Baker's Office:

Barbara.l'Italien@masenate.gov; Jason.Lewis@masenate.gov;  
Mike.Barrett@masenate.gov; Patricia.Jehlen@masenate.gov;  
Patrick.OConnor@masenate.gov; Sonia.Chang-Diaz@masenate.gov;  
Alice.Peisch@mahouse.gov; Bud.Williams@mahouse.gov; Chris.Walsh@mahouse.gov;  
Chynah.Tyler@mahouse.gov; Diana.DiZoglio@mahouse.gov;  
James.Cantwell@mahouse.gov; James.Kelcourse@mahouse.gov;  
John.Rogers@mahouse.gov; Kimberly.Ferguson@mahouse.gov;  
Paul.Tucker@mahouse.gov; Rep.Smitty@mahouse.gov; Michael.Moore@masenate.gov;  
Angelo.Puppolo@mahouse.gov; Jack.Lewis@mahouse.gov;  
Carolyn.Dykema@mahouse.gov; Robert.Knorr@state.ma.us; Monica.Bharel@state.ma.us;  
Erin.Collins@state.ma.us; Aaron.Carty@masenate.gov;  
agocommunityengagement@state.ma.us; Donald.Boecke@state.ma.us; odl@doe.mass.edu;  
Kenneth.A.Klau@state.ma.us;

Dear Esteemed Legislators and Public Servants,

I commend you for addressing the concerns of your highly educated constituents about the health hazards of wireless technology in the classroom. Thank you for your careful consideration of H. 2030 and S. 2079 to ensure safe use of technology in our schools and classrooms.

Despite industry campaigns to create controversy in the peer reviewed literature via "creative study design"<sup>1</sup>, the current weight of the evidence demonstrates obvious harm from wireless technologies. The sum of quality, peer reviewed evidence amassed since the 1950's is so great, that denial of this evidence is like denying of the laws of gravity.

As a former medical school assistant professor and internist, I have spent the bulk of my 20-year career caring for extremely sick, hospitalized patients, and teaching medical students and residents clinical medicine. I have published in the peer reviewed literature, served as a peer

---

<sup>1</sup> Many industry funded studies are of short duration, and/or low levels of radiation exposure. Short duration studies would not be powered to prove an endpoint like cancer, which takes a long time to develop in a given patient.

reviewer, and taught hundreds of medical students and residents how to critically evaluate the medical literature. I have read extensively on the health impacts of electromagnetic fields (EMF's), and am highly qualified to opine on this topic.

This testimony, however, I write as the mother of two electrosensitive children who have been harmed by wireless technology, and are no longer able to attend school. By telling our story, I hope to prevent other children and their families from being harmed as we have: physically, socially, emotionally and mentally, and to give you an understanding of the human consequences of omnipresent Wi-fi and radiofrequency radiation (RFR) in our schools.

I am a law abiding, tax-paying resident of XXXXXXXXXX. Yet, **my children ages 6 and 9, with physician diagnosed electromagnetic intolerance, have absolutely no access to an education, in either public or private school.** In August 2017 both kids became ill in their respective classrooms, after only 3 days of school, and were unable to return. I am currently not able to find a school (without Wi-fi and not under a cell tower) that doesn't make them ill. As a result, they will need to be homeschooled this year, unless the school district reconsiders our request for 504 accommodations that was denied last month.

#### **My daughter:**

In May of 2016, my 2<sup>nd</sup> grade daughter reported immediate onset dizziness, nausea, and vertigo whenever the smart board was used in her classroom. With longer exposures (i.e. when the teacher screened movies on the smart board), she reported confusion, with very intense nausea and dizziness. As the year progressed, she developed short term memory loss and had marked behavioral changes. The first week of summer, she attended a camp under multiple cell towers, and after only 2 hours, she developed what was later recognized as acute radiation toxicity. She manifested all the school symptoms, plus more severe neuropsychiatric issues, many of which lasted for months, including: hyper-somnolence, akathisia, a tic disorder, extreme emotional lability/crying for no apparent reason, outbursts of anger and chronic dizziness. During this period, she was acutely sensitive to cell phone radiation/Wi-Fi/ cell towers/and other types of RFR. Of note, when levels of RFR were subsequently measured in her classroom, the power density was extremely high: 125,000  $\mu\text{W}/\text{M}^2$ , a level clearly associated with many ill health effects, including DNA damage, behavioral changes, and concentration difficulties). She has recovered, thankfully, but she remains sensitized to RFR when exposed. After only 3 days in school this year she was too dizzy and nauseous to return to the school she loved.

#### **My son:**

In December 2016, after starting a new school, my son developed new onset, autism-like behavioral changes that were progressive. With each passing week at the new school, he became progressively more aggressive and violent, attacking his sister many weeknights by punching and kicking her for no apparent reason. He also regressed developmentally and was no longer willing to dress/undress or wash himself. He had frequent headaches and severe difficulties with concentration most days after coming home from school. After two months of school, he began attacking other family members, including me, and his grandmother who lived with us. He had fits of rage in which he was very difficult to restrain physically. During these fits, he was often destructive. In February, he kicked a sliding glass shower door so hard it broke, and



'later fell on my foot crushing several toes. In early March, after our daughter offhandedly mentioned that the school bus made her very dizzy, we decided to experiment and see if taking both kids off the bus would change anything. Both kids were angry about this decision, but shockingly, after 24 hours of not riding the bus, my son's violence and aggression stopped entirely. There was no further aggression in the home, apart from several episodes that occurred after heavy radiation exposures outside the home, and once the school year was over, my son was back to his normal, sweet self. After only 2 days of restarting school last month, my son immediately became aggressive towards his sister.

**Background:**

Both kids are developmentally normal and completely healthy. When they are exposed to RFR, they become very ill, both in different ways. We were only able to connect my son's behavior with RFR exposure because of his sister's observations. In 2016 we noticed that every time we would go to certain stores, like Home Depot or Target, my son would walk in the store behaving normally, but after a few minutes, he would go crazy: kicking, yelling, throwing himself on the floor. It got so bad that I made a point of never going to those places with him because it was too embarrassing. One day his sister said, "You understand what is happening here, right mom? Whenever I get dizzy in a store, he gets crazy – it's the radiation!" Indeed, as we subsequently observed our son in various environments, her observation was correct.

**We know RFR is the problem because our home is a white zone:**

Our home has been free of RFR since my daughter was diagnosed in 2016. We removed our Smart meter, cancelled the alarm service, exchanged Wi-fi for a wired ethernet connection, and made the other necessary changes to create an environment that would allow our daughter to heal from radiation toxicity. We do not use cell phones anywhere near the kids, and keep them in airplane mode most of the day. We bought low EMF<sup>2</sup> cars, that we personally measured before buying, to ensure the kids wouldn't be exposed to RF or heavy magnetic fields in the car. Since most Americans live in homes/drive cars that are electromagnetically polluted and kids carry phones that irradiate them 24/7, it is very difficult for parents to make a connection between behavioral changes and/or health issues and RFR. Simply put, parents never get to see what their kids are like when unexposed, even for even a few days.

**We are the tip of the iceberg:**

Had we not figured out that RFR was the cause of my son's behavioral changes and violent aggression, he undoubtedly would have ended up institutionalized and medicated. Possibly for life. Currently, there are many kids being medicated for psychiatric conditions, who may not have any medical problem aside from physiologic manifestations of non-ionizing radiation exposure(RFR).

**Next steps:**

Massachusetts has an incredible opportunity to lead the nation in creating solutions for safe technology use in school. You have seen the catastrophe of the opioid crisis, and I am grateful

---

<sup>2</sup> EMF-electromagnetic field

for how quickly you developed the political will to address it. Wi-fi in schools is hazardous to the cells in every one of our children and staff members, regardless of whether they can "feel it" or not. I urge you to take swift political action to protect our school children and staff now, at the start of this school year. I assure you that it will be easier and more cost effective to act now, before the onslaught of lawsuits begins (filed by parents of injured children, teachers, and school bus drivers<sup>3</sup> injured at school and in the workplace), than after countless people are injured. We can connect you with experts to help your schools develop a short-term plan to reduce all non-emergency-related exposures in school, and long-term plans to remove Wi-fi and establish hard-wired infrastructure. Thank you for your careful consideration of this urgent health matter. Please report out of committee favorably and promptly H.230 An Act relative to best management practices for wireless in schools and public institutions of higher education and S.2079 An Act reducing non-ionizing radiation exposure in schools. Please don't hesitate to contact me if I can provide additional information.

Respectfully,

XXXXXXXXXXXX, MD

---

<sup>3</sup> Bus drivers worldwide are being exposed to extremely high levels of RFR, quickly making bus driving a risky occupation because: 1) Passengers carry multiple cell phones, and operate them inside an enclosed metal space (the bus) which greatly amplifies the emitted radiation. 2) Cell phones, if used inside a vehicle that is moving rapidly, emit even greater amounts of RFR when searching for the next cell tower. 3) Some buses even have Wi-fi, again if in an enclosed metal space, which will reflect and amplify the RFR. Our children's school bus carried only elementary schoolers, with only 3 or 4 cell phones, but the result was a very high level of RFR for the driver and students. A similar bus carrying high schoolers would probably have 10 or 20 cell phones turned on at any given time, leading to extreme exposure levels for the driver. Please consider school bus policies that will protect the drivers and passengers, in addition to in-school policies.

**From:** Sharon Behn <sfbehn@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 9, 2024 11:06 PM  
**To:** reference  
**Subject:** For Jesse

## Press Release: Environmental Health Trust Reveals Concealed FCC Cell Phone Tests Showing Human Radiation Exposure Limits “Were Exceeded”

WASHINGTON, DC, April 22, 2024 — The Environmental Health Trust (EHT) revealed today that the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) hid test results showing that radiation exceeded federal limits when smartphones were in close proximity to the human body, such as in a pants pocket. The FCC apparently failed to disclose this information to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit.

In 2019 the agency tested several Apple, Samsung, Blu and Motorola smartphone models for cell phone radiation SAR levels.

The FCC test results for phones in the pocket (2 mm) were not public until September 29, 2023, when they were released to EHT as a result of a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request. The FCC FOIA letter states that for certain phones: “We observed that at a 2 mm separation distance, the FCC radiofrequency (RF) exposure limits were exceeded.”

EHT also has filed an appeal to the FCC as seven records were withheld from the FOIA response. The appeal has not been addressed.

In 2019, when the FCC conducted the tests, it also had an open rule-making regarding its 1996-era limits concerning human exposure to wireless radiation. The FCC’s rule-making was followed by a federal court challenge, which resulted in the FCC and the Food and Drug Administration being subject to a court- ordered remand in August 2021.

“The FCC and FDA did not reveal these cell phone tests during the court case, and have yet to respond to the court-ordered remand, which is a matter of grave concern,” said Kent Chamberlin, incoming president of EHT.

Theodora Scarato, EHT vice president for policy and education stated: “Why did the FCC perform these tests and then decide to not release the results to the public while it was conducting a rule-making on this very subject? Why did the FCC refuse to release all the records on this issue? It is outrageous that the U.S. allows phones to be tested with whatever separation distance the companies want. Phones should be tested the way they are used. Children and adults use and carry phones pressed to their body for hours every day. We need a strong oversight and compliance program, including post-market RF emission and health effect surveillance. It is time for a new approach to cell phone testing, one that reflects the way people use phones today.”

Download Links

- [FCCs 2 mm test data](#)
- [EHT Appeal letter](#)

- FCC Letter to Theodora Scarato of EHT on 2 mm Tests

The FOIA appeal and entire FCC FOIA response are available on EHT's website here: <https://ehtrust.org/environmental-health-trust-foia-project/>

###

About Environmental Health Trust

Environmental Health Trust is a 501(c)3 nonprofit scientific think tank that promotes a healthier environment through research, education and policy. EHT conducts cutting edge research on environmental health hazards and works with communities, health, education professionals and policymakers to understand and mitigate these hazards.

The Environmental Health Trust had a favorable U.S. Court of Appeals judgment in their case against the FCC. EHT scientists testified in 2009 Senate hearings and 2008 congressional hearing on cell phone radiation- the last ever held. EHT scientists have continued to publish studies on the health effects of non -ionizing electromagnetic radiation and organized numerous national and international scientific conferences on the issue. EHT's scientific publications have been submitted to the FCC record as critical evidence.

Visit [www.ehtrust.org](http://www.ehtrust.org) for more information.

3

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

HEIDI CREMER,	:	
	:	
Plaintiff	:	CIVIL ACTION
v.	:	NO. 97-30040-KPN
JOHN J. CALLAHAN, Ph.D.,	:	
Acting Commissioner, Social	:	
Security Administration	:	
Defendant	:	

DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM

The defendant, the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration, by his Attorney, Donald K. Stern, United States Attorney for the District of Massachusetts, files this supplemental memorandum in accordance with the court's order of October 22, 1997.

The defendant has moved for remand of this case for further proceedings. After oral argument, the Court ordered that the defendant file a supplemental memorandum stating whether the Social Security Administration recognizes multiple chemical sensitivity as a medically determinable impairment. This memorandum addresses that point.

The Social Security Administration has agreed to stipulate that it recognizes multiple chemical sensitivity as a medically determinable impairment. This stipulation is limited to the general recognition of the condition. The Social Security

Administration cannot stipulate at this juncture that the plaintiff in this case suffered from multiple chemical sensitivity as of December 31, 1992, the date that her insured status expired. The defendant seeks remand for further evaluation of the plaintiff's claimed disability during the relevant period, including further evaluation of medical and vocational evidence.

For these reasons and for the reasons set forth in the defendant's initial memorandum, the defendant respectfully requests that this case be reversed and remanded to the Commissioner for further proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,

DONALD K. STERN  
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY  
*Donald K. Stern*  
KAREN L. GOODWIN  
ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY  
1550 Main Street  
Springfield, MA 01103  
413-785-0235

Dated: October 31, 1997

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that a copy of the foregoing was mailed this date, postage prepaid, to Catherine H. Hancock, Sullivan & Hancock, One Campus Lane, Easthampton, MA 01027.

*Karen L. Goodwin*  
KAREN L. GOODWIN  
ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

118

3-1/14

Oct-26-00 12:36

216



United States  
Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board

1331 F Street, NW • Washington, DC 20004-1111 • 202-272-5434 (Voice) • 202-272-5449 (TDD) • 202-272-5447 (FAX)

October 26, 2000

Mr. Nelson French, Director  
White Mountain Catholic Charities  
Saint Anthony's Convent  
6 N. McQuatters Avenue  
P.O. Box 552  
McNary, Arizona 85930

Dear Mr. French:

I am writing regarding The Dispossessed Outreach Project's plans to seek assistance from the Arizona Department of Commerce to develop housing for people with multiple chemical sensitivities and electrical sensitivities in West Yavapai County. The Access Board is a federal agency whose mission is to promote accessibility for people with disabilities. We develop accessibility guidelines for the built environment, transit vehicles, and telecommunications equipment, and standards for electronic and information technology. Our guidelines are adopted by other federal agencies as enforceable standards under the Americans with Disabilities Act, Architectural Barriers Act, and Telecommunications Act. We also enforce accessibility standards for federally funded facilities.

Over the years, the Access Board has learned about the very important needs of people with multiple chemical sensitivities and electrical sensitivities. We have heard from thousand of people across the country who are sensitized to chemicals and electromagnetic, radio, and cell phone emissions and who cannot safely use facilities because of chemicals released from building materials and furnishings, exposure to certain types of electrical equipment and systems, and cleaning products and pesticides commonly used in operating and maintaining buildings. The Access Board is initiating a major project to examine the needs of people with multiple chemical sensitivities and electrical sensitivities as they relate to indoor environmental quality, and to develop an action plan to address those needs. We will be involving people with multiple chemical sensitivities and electrical sensitivities, the building industry, and other federal agencies, including the Environmental Protection Agency, in the project. We also are developing technical assistance materials that will focus on best practices for addressing the needs of this population.

Based on our experience, I can state that there definitely is a need for specially planned and designed housing for people with multiple chemical sensitivities and electrical sensitivities. There are many people with these and related disabilities whose condition is so severe that they cannot live in conventional housing. We recently visited Ecology House, an 11-unit apartment:

RECEIVED

The Access Board

OCT 30 2013

MCALLEY CENTRAL 646


26-00 12:36

217

development in San Rafael, California; talked with its residents; and learned first hand about the special design and construction practices that have made the project habitable for people who could not tolerate other housing. The housing project being planned by The Dispossessed Outreach Project would build on the experiences of Ecology House and meet a real need for healthy and affordable housing by people who have no other choices.

If I can be of further assistance, please call me at (202) 272-5451.

Sincerely,



James J. Raggio  
General Counsel

RECEIVED

OCT 30 2013

MCALLISTER CENTRAL F.O.

37/11  
647

Summary for the Public

Ms. Sage

**SECTION 1**

**SUMMARY FOR THE PUBLIC**

**Cindy Sage, MA**  
**Sage Associates**  
**USA**

**Prepared for the BioInitiative Working Group**  
**August 2007**



Summary for the Public

Ms. Sage

**These proposals reflect the evidence that a positive assertion of safety with respect to chronic exposure to low-intensity levels of ELF and RF cannot be made. As with many other standards for environmental exposures, these proposed limits may not be totally protective, but more stringent standards are not realistic at the present time. Even a small increased risk for cancer and neurodegenerative diseases translates into an enormous public health consequence. Regulatory action for ELF and preventative actions for RF are warranted at this time to reduce exposures and inform the public of the potential for increased risk; at what levels of chronic exposure these risks may be present; and what measures may be taken to reduce risks.**

### C. Problems with Existing Public Health Standards (Safety Limits)

Today's public exposure limits for telecommunications are based on the presumption that heating of tissue (for RF) or induced electric currents in the body (for ELF) are the only concerns when living organisms are exposed to RF. These exposures can create tissue heating that is well known to be harmful in even very short-term doses. As such, thermal limits do serve a purpose. For example, for people whose occupations require them to work around radar facilities or RF heat-sealers, or for people who install and service wireless antenna tower, thermally-based limits are necessary to prevent damage from heating (or, in the case of power-frequency ELF from induced current flow in tissues). In the past, scientists and engineers developed exposure standards for electromagnetic radiation based what we now believe are faulty assumptions that the right way to measure how much non-ionizing energy humans can tolerate (how much exposure) without harm is to measure only the heating of tissue (RF) or induced currents in the body (ELF).


In the last few decades, it has been established beyond any reasonable doubt that bioeffects and some adverse health effects occur at far lower levels of RF and ELF exposure where no heating (or induced currents) occurs at all; some effects are shown to occur at several hundred thousand times below the existing public safety limits where heating is an impossibility.

**It appears it is the INFORMATION conveyed by electromagnetic radiation (rather than heat) that causes biological changes - some of these biological changes may lead to loss of wellbeing, disease and even death.**

Effects occur at non-thermal or low-intensity exposure levels thousands of times below the levels that federal agencies say should keep the public safe. For many new devices operating with wireless technologies, the devices are exempt from any regulatory standards. The existing standards have been proven to be inadequate to control against harm from low-intensity, chronic exposures, based on any reasonable, independent assessment of the scientific literature. It means that an entirely new basis (a biological basis) for new exposure standards is needed. New standards need to take into account what we have learned about the effects of ELF and RF (all non-ionizing electromagnetic radiation and to design new limits based on biologically-demonstrated effects that are important to proper biological function in living organisms. It is vital to do so because the explosion of new sources has created unprecedented levels of artificial


# Read The Fine Print

All cell phones and wireless devices instruct that they should be held away from your body.



"Usage precautions during 3G connection : Keep safe distance from pregnant women's stomach or from lower stomach of teenagers. Body worn operation: Important safety information regarding radiofrequency radiation (RF) exposure. To ensure compliance with RF exposure guidelines the Notebook PC must be used with a minimum of 20.8 cm antenna separation from the body."

*Samsung 3G Laptop Manual*




"To be sure that human exposure to RF energy does not exceed the FCC, IC, and European Union guidelines, always follow these instructions and precautions: Orient the device in portrait mode with the Home button at the bottom of the display, or in landscape mode with the cellular antenna away from your body or other objects." *Apple iPad Manual*




"Keep the BlackBerry device at least 0.59 in. (15 mm) from your body (including the abdomen of pregnant women and the lower abdomen of teenagers) when the BlackBerry device is turned on and connected to the wireless network." *Blackberry Bold 9930 Manual*




"To be sure that human exposure does not exceed the FCC guidelines, always follow these instructions... keep iPhone at least 15 mm (5/8 inch) away from the body, and only use carrying cases, belt clips, or holders that do not have metal parts and that maintain at least 15 mm (5/8) inch separation between the iPhone and the body." To view the information on your iPhone go to Settings > General > About > Legal > RF Exposure.  
*iPhone 4 Instructions*



"Caution: Exposure to Radiofrequency Radiation: The device shall be used in such a manner that the potential for human contact normal operation is minimized. This equipment complies with FCC radiation exposure limits set forth for an uncontrolled environment. This equipment should be installed and operated with a minimum distance of 20cm between the radiator and your body." *Belkin WiFi Router Manual*



"In order to avoid the possibility of exceeding the FCC radio frequency exposure limits, human proximity to the antenna shall not be less than 20 cm (8 inches) during normal operation." *HP WiFi Printer Manual*



"Caution: To Comply with FCC RF exposure compliance requirements, a separation distance of at least 20 cm must be maintained between the antennae of this device and all persons." *Summer Baby Monitor Manual*

3272

contact Dr. Devra Davis, Founder and President, Environmental Health Trust (info@ehtrust.org or 307-741-1313)

See Press Release below.

## Press Release

### Health Policy Groups Question FCC's Allowing Manufacturer Violation of Its Cell Phone Microwave Radiation Exposure Limit

*Majority of cell phones on market may exceed FCC's stated RF safety limit of 1.6 W/kg, experts warn*

Washington, D.C., January 10, 2017. The Federal Communications Commission (FCC)'s General Counsel, Howard Symons, Esq., and Associate Chief Counsel in the Office of Engineering and Technology, Bruce Romano, Esq., have offered no acknowledgement or reply to an Inquiry posing questions about whether the FCC is adequately enforcing its existing cell phone radiation safety limits.

The inquiry letter to the FCC from Swankin & Turner in Washington, D.C. was sent September 9, 2016 on behalf of the National Institute for Science, Law & Public Policy (NISLAPP) and the Environmental Health Trust (EHT). Now four months later, the FCC's lack of reply to important questions contained in the letter raises serious concerns of regulatory responsibility and competency.

The inquiry letter to the FCC suggests as many as 75% of cell phones on the market today may possibly exceed the FCC's exposure limit of 1.6 W/kg peak spatial Specific Absorption Rate (psSAR), due to a +/-30% margin of error (or uncertainty factor) in the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE) methodology for assessing psSAR (on which the FCC's exposure limits are substantially based). Any phone with a psSAR of greater than 1.231 W/kg with the 30% uncertainty factor could theoretically exceed the 1.6 W/kg FCC limit.

Examples of how various popular phones brands, and wireless transmitting devices (WTDs) such as tablets, may easily exceed the FCC's limit, with psSARs as high as 2.08 W/kg, were included with the letter as Exhibit A.

The inquiry letter to the FCC from Swankin & Turner also posed other questions regarding FCC oversight of cell phone and wireless transmitting devices, including how the FCC considers its current safety limit to protect children if the psSAR assessment methodology the FCC uses was never intended to protect children.

L. Lloyd Morgan, Senior Research Fellow, Environmental Health Trust, says, "We urge the media, all cell phone users and public health officials to contact the FCC, as well as members of Congress, demanding a response to this important letter of inquiry. It appears many cell phones on the market should never have been certified."

Camilla Rees, Senior Policy Advisor, National Institute for Science, Law & Public Policy, says, "The FCC has failed to protect children, despite scientific evidence there is significantly greater absorption of cell phone radiation in the brain and bone marrow of the skull in children, compared to adults. So the question of how the FCC considers its safety limits to protect children calls for an immediate and urgent reply. An entire generation looks to be at risk."

Dr. Devra Davis, PhD, President of the scientific and policy research organization, Environmental Health Trust, adds, "The issues raised in this inquiry letter highlight the FCC's failures to protect public health. We urge FCC leadership in the new Administration to come to the table with integrity to see that the important questions raised here are answered thoroughly, and that steps are taken to assure compliance with the FCC's psSAR microwave radiation safety limit."

Contacts:

# FCC Cell Phone Safety Limit Enforcement Probed

10.01.2017 by emily Category [Electromagnetic Health Blog](#)



## Press Release

Below is an inquiry letter sent by attorneys Swankin & Turner to the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) on behalf of the National Institute for Science, Law & Public Policy and Environmental Health Trust questioning the adequacy of the FCC's enforcement of its existing cell phone radiation limits.

### Swankin & Turner Inquiry Letter to the Federal Communications Commission (PDF)

The letter suggests as many as 75% of cell phones on the market today may possibly exceed the FCC's exposure limit.

Examples of how cell phone commonly exceed the safety limit were provided in Exhibit A to the letter. The Swankin & Turner inquiry letter to the FCC raised other equally important issues regarding FCC oversight of cell phones and wireless transmitting devices, including how the FCC considers its current safety limit to protect children given the psSAR assessment methodology the FCC uses was never intended to protect children.

Children absorb twice as much radiation into the brain compared to adults, but the FCC evidences no concern, and young children are using cell phones emitting a level of microwave radiation established for a grown adult.

Swankin & Turner Partner, James S. Turner, Esq., asked,

***"Given the well established greater absorption of radiation in children, and the fact that cell phone manufacturers do not presently offer cell phone models with a low psSAR for children, my clients wish to know how well the FCC considers its present guidelines to protect children."***

Additional issues raised in the inquiry letter relate to the adequacy of the FCC's oversight of the pre-market psSAR assessment process, and post-market psSAR surveillance, as well as regarding the respective roles of the FCC and FDA with regard to cell phone safety and regulation. Clarity was requested on where exactly responsibility for assuring devices conform to the 1.6 W/kg psSAR limit resides.

No acknowledgment of receipt or reply from the FCC has been received four months after the inquiry was submitted to the FCC's General Counsel, Howard Symons, Esq. and Associate Chief Counsel in the Office of Engineering and Technology, Bruce Romano, Esq., with copies to all FCC Commissioners, including FCC Chairman, Tom Wheeler.

The National Institute for Science, Law and Public Policy and Environmental Health Trust suggest media investigate this. Thank you for your attention.

#### FCC Contacts:

1. Howard Symons, Esq., General Counsel  
(202) 418-1787  
[howard.symons@fcc.gov](mailto:howard.symons@fcc.gov)

2. Bruce Romano, Esq., Associate Chief (Legal), Office of Engineering & Technology  
202-418-2124  
[bruce.romano@fcc.gov](mailto:bruce.romano@fcc.gov)

Any questions, please call L. Lloyd Morgan, Senior Research Fellow, Environmental Health Trust (510-841-4362), Camilla Rees, Senior Policy Advisor, National Institute for Science, Law and Public Policy (415-992-5000)

**Shawn E. Abrell**, WSB No. 41054, *Pro Hac Vice*  
4614 SW Kelly Avenue, Suite 200, Portland, Oregon 97239  
Tel.: 503.224.3018; Fax: 503.222.0693  
E-Mail: shawn.e.abrell@gmail.com  
*Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs*

**Tyl W. Bakker**, OSB No. 90200  
621 SW Alder, Suite 621, Portland, Oregon 97205  
Tel.: 503.244.4157; Fax: 503.220.1913  
E-Mail: tylbakker@gmail.com  
*Local Counsel for Plaintiffs*

**United States District Court**

**District of Oregon**

**Portland Division**

**AHM**, by and through  
her Guardian *ad litem* and father,  
David Mark Morrison, and  
David Mark Morrison, individually,

v.

**Portland Public Schools,**

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 3:11-cv-00739-MO

**Declaration of**  
**Dr. Magda Havas, B.Sc., Ph.D.**

I, Dr. Magda Havas, B.Sc., Ph.D., under penalty of perjury pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, hereby make the following declaration in support of an injunction enjoining Portland Public Schools' use of WI-FI:

conclusions and opinions.

### **Electro-hyper-sensitivity**

24. A condition identified by Russian researchers many decades ago, Microwave Sickness, is generally referred to in the West as Electro-Hyper-Sensitivity (EHS). These names are sometimes used loosely as a catch-all for a variety of adverse health outcomes of RF/MW radiation, other than cancer and genotoxicity. But they should not be confused. In EHS, either a single, acute or a long-term, low-level exposure to electromagnetism, including RF/MW radiation, induces limbic sensitization, producing abnormal brainwave spikes, such that successive exposures amplify the person's responses to electromagnetism over time. By this mechanism, a person has difficulty functioning in a society with inescapable RF/MW radiation (and ELF or extremely low frequency fields). Again, this is to be distinguished from other temporary effects of RF/MW radiation in non-sensitized persons, and by way of other mechanisms. After EHS has been induced, symptoms are retriggered by ever lower levels of RF/MW radiation (and/or ELF fields). The phenomenon thus represents injury into an ongoing condition, and is not merely a set of isolated symptoms.

25. Because EHS is induced by exposure, there is a growing population worldwide that is adversely affected by lower-intensity electromagnetic frequencies. The World Health Organization (WHO) defines EHS as:

\* \* \* [a] phenomenon where individuals experience adverse health effects while using or being in the vicinity of devices emanating electric, magnetic, or electromagnetic fields (EMFs) \* \* \* EHS is real and sometimes a debilitating problem for the affected persons, while the level of EMF in their neighborhood is no greater than is encountered in normal living environments. Their exposures are generally several orders of magnitude under the limits in internationally accepted standards.

26. Symptoms of EHS include cognitive dysfunction (in memory, concentration, problem-solving); balance, dizziness and vertigo; facial flushing, skin rash; chest pressure, rapid heart rate; depression, anxiety, irritability, fatigue, poor sleep; body aches, headaches; ringing in the ear (tinnitus) and more. It is estimated that three percent of the adult population is severely affected and another 35 percent has moderate symptoms. Since prolonged exposure to RF/MW and ELF can result in sensitization and EHS, it is imperative that children's exposure to RF/MW radiation, such as from WI-FI, be minimized, particularly at school, given the many hours per year that attendance is required.

27. Mount Tabor Middle School, with a population of approximately 600 children, may already have 18 (3 percent of the school population) or more who are severely affected by RF/MW radiation and up to 210 children (35 percent) who have moderate symptoms. This does not include those children who are not specifically sensitized into EHS, but do have symptoms. These consider that the percentages of children with EHS would be the same as those in adult populations. Since the percentages might actually tend to be higher in children, these numbers are conservative. The Portland schools should look to identify those children, whether with EHS or not, who suffer symptoms in response to WI-FI. In so doing, administrators and teachers may, in then accommodating these students, find improved school work, cognitive and social functioning.

#### Children

28. Children are more sensitive to environmental contaminants, and these include RF/MW radiation. The Stewart Report (2000) recommended that children not use cell phones except for emergencies. The cell phone exposes the head to pulse-modulated (PM) MW radiation. A wireless computer (WI-FI) exposes the entire upper body to PM MW radiation; and if one has the computer on one's lap, it exposes reproductive organs as well. Certainly this is not

50. It is hard to imagine that Portland parents are required to give permission with signed consent forms for student bus trips and photographs but are not consulted and asked for permission to expose their children to pulse-modulated MW radiation.

51. Based on the evidence that leading scientists have assembled in the past decade, if Portland Public Schools continues to use wireless internet (WI-FI), some students and teachers in its schools (an estimated 3% to 35% of the adult population and possibly a higher percentage of students) will become ill. Heart problems may be aggravated, other internal and external body injuries may be caused, and various pre-existing medical conditions may be worsened. Children and adults with undiagnosed, undetected heart problems may die. All students, teachers and administrators will be affected to some degree, even if their symptoms are not consciously attributed to the exposure or positively diagnosed. Portland Public Schools must be willing to make a decision that protects and does not harm the health and lives of those for whom it is responsible. It should not leave the Board open to legal action from families of children with heart and other MW radiation-related problems. There may be class-action lawsuits; the Board's decisions may jeopardize the long-term financial sustainability of the school board.

52. Submitted herewith as Addendum 'D' is a KeyNote presentation that I will use during my testimony.

53. I will receive \$250 per hour for my time (plus expenses) from this date forward and that money will be used to support research in this area.

54. I reserve the right to amend to add new relevant studies as they may arise and pending analysis, additional testing, and recently received voluminous discovery.



**Shawn E. Abrell**, WSBA No. 41054, *Pro Hac Vice*  
3405 NW 31<sup>st</sup> Circle, Camas, Washington 98607  
Tel.: 503.512.7712; Fax: 503.222.0693  
E-Mail: shawn.e.abrell@gmail.com  
*Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs*

**Tyl W. Bakker**, OSB No. 90200  
621 SW Alder, Suite 621, Portland, Oregon 97205  
Tel.: 503.244.4157; Fax: 503.220.1913  
E-Mail: twbpc@pcez.com  
*Local Counsel for Plaintiffs*

**United States District Court**  
**District of Oregon**  
**Portland Division**

**Alexandra Helene Morrison**, by and through  
her Guardian *ad litem* and father,  
David Mark Morrison, and  
David Mark Morrison, individually,

Civil Action No. Cv-739-MO

**Declaration of Barrie Trower**

v.

**Portland Public Schools,**  
Defendant.

I, Barrie Trower, under penalty of perjury pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, hereby make the following declaration in support of a preliminary and permanent injunction enjoining Portland Public Schools' use of WI-FI:

### Background

1. I trained at the Government (Ministry of Defense) microwave warfare establishment(s) early in the 1960s covering all aspects of microwave technology, uses and health dangers. Later works included under water bomb-disposal which incorporated microwave technology.

2. In the late 1960's and 1970's a part of my task was to extract confidential (hitherto secret) information from master criminals, terrorists, and spies. This included Cold War microwave technology.

3. My first degree is in Physics with a specialization in microwaves. My second degree is a research degree. I have a teaching diploma in human physiology. Before retiring, I taught advanced physics and mathematics at South Dartmoor College.

4. I am Scientific Advisor to the Radiation Research Trust and the H.E.S.E. (Human Ecological Social Economical) Project.

5. I am the author of both Tetra Reports for the Police Federation of England and Wales and the Public and Commercial Service Union.

6. My work is done entirely free of charge and I have never accepted money from any person or organization in the years I have been doing this research. I consider myself absolutely independent.

### Origins

7. To my knowledge, 'microwave or radiowave sickness' was first reported in August 1932 with the symptoms of: severe tiredness, fatigue, fitful sleep, headaches, intolerability and high susceptibility to infection. Hecht, K *et al.*, *Overloading of Towns and Cities with Radio Transmitters (Cellular Transmitter): a hazard for the human health and a disturbance of ecoethics*, International Research Centre of Healthy Ecological Technology (IRCHET), Berlin-Germany, at ¶ 3 (2007). These symptoms were reported to be from athermal effects.

8. By 1971, the US Naval Medical Research Institute (NMRI) referenced 2300 research articles listing in excess of 120 illnesses attributed to radio frequency and non-ionizing microwave radiation. *Biography of Reported Biological Phenomena ('Effect') and Clinical Manifestations Attributed to Microwave and Radio-Frequency Radiation, Research Report. MF12.524.015-0004B, Report No. 2. NMRI, National Naval Medical Centre (1971).* Under the Freedom of Information Act, extracts from published US Defence Intelligence Agency Documents confirmed the NMRI research and stated: 'If the more advanced nations of the West are strict in enforcement of stringent exposure standards, there could be unfavourable effects on industrial output and military functions.' Defence Intelligence Agency Documents: DST - 1810S - 076-76, ST-c5-01-169-72, DST-18105-074-76 (1972-1983).

9. In 1975, after an extensive study, the United States Defence Intelligence Agency warned all of its personnel of the risk from low level microwaves including illnesses ranging from microwave sickness (flu like symptoms, depression, suicidal tendencies) to cancers and leukaemia. *Biological effects of electromagnetic radiation (radiowaves and microwaves) – Eurasian Communist Countries, Defence Intelligence Agency: DST-1810S-074-76, March (1976).*

10. During the Cold War, the Russian Embassy microwaved the United States Embassy in Moscow with low level microwaves for many years from across the road; why and how is outside the scope of this Declaration. After many changes of staff for multiple cancers / leukaemias and other illnesses to both male and female employees and their children, the late John R. Goldsmith, M.D., was invited to investigate this matter. His investigative report on this incident showed that continuous long term low level microwaves were responsible for those illnesses. Goldsmith, J. R., *Radiofrequency Epidemiology, Environmental Health Perspectives, Vol 105, at 1585, Supp 6, Table 8, Dec (1997).* Dr. Goldsmith held 11 Professorships and was the

World Health Organization (WHO) representative for Europe. Interestingly the power of the microwaves used by the Russians in some cases was less than the power used by modern day transmitters. Dr. Golsworthy, [http://www.radiationresearch.org/goldsworthy\\_bio\\_weak\\_em\\_07.pdf](http://www.radiationresearch.org/goldsworthy_bio_weak_em_07.pdf); Warning on health and fertility, <http://omega.twoday.net/stories/1755556/>.

11. Debriefing spies during The Cold War extended my military education into the full diversity of stealth microwave warfare and communication systems. In so doing, I learned a list of approximately 30 pulse frequencies that could induce some 50 mental and physical ailments by entrainment.

12. As soon as ordinary microwave transmitters became common place residents started to complain of illnesses and cancer clusters. Independent researcher Sue Webster took data from just 19 transmitters and found approx 92 cancers (breast, thyroid, bowel, leukaemia) the average age of those affected was roughly 39. Health Dangers from Wireless Laptops, Sue Webster was quoted in Canceractive's ICON magazine in January 2003 article, <http://www.canceractive.com/s-hop/product.php?productid=16157&cat=255&page=1>.

13. Microwave sickness was well documented by 1997 where over 100 further research documents were referenced. Grant, L., *Microwave Sickness*, Electrical Sensitivity News, Vol I No 6, Vol 2 Nos 1-4 (1997).

14. Portland Public Schools is transmitting electromagnetic frequencies (EMFs) at low levels (2.5 GHz to 5 GHz frequency that means between 2.4 and 5.8 billion Hz). When I realized that similar frequencies and powers that were used as weapons during the Cold War were being used as WI-FI in schools, I decided to come out of retirement and travel around the world free of charge and explain exactly what the problem is going to be in the future.

15. HAARP was originally researched by Sister Dr. Rosalie Bertell who was concerned about electromagnetic interference to our atmosphere. HAARP reflects electromagnetic waves off the ionosphere and can influence any part of the air or land on this Planet. This has the potential to cause physiological and neurological effects on humans, animals and plants.

16. The paradox of course is how can microwave radiation be used as a weapon to cause illness or death and at the same time be used as a safe communications instrument. Therefore, I fail to see how WI-FI can possibly be safe for the school children and teachers exposed to it. Also, why is there a still an on-going stealth microwave warfare industry, continuing from the 1950's.

### Technology

17. The International Commission for Non-Ionizing Radiation (ICNIRP) classifies microwaves as electromagnetic waves from 300 MHz to 300 GHz. ICNIRP Guidelines, Guidelines for Limiting Exposure to Time-Varying Electric, Magnetic, and Electromagnetic Fields (Up to 300 GHz), Health Physics April 1998, Vol 74, No 4, 522, [www.icnirp.de/documents/emfgdl.pdf](http://www.icnirp.de/documents/emfgdl.pdf). Therefore, everything discussed in this report is in the microwave 'band.'

18. Microwaves react very differently in our water-based bodies to radio waves. The term 'Radio Frequency' is often used to describe microwave based communication systems. It is important that the term 'Radio Frequency' is not associated with Radio Waves, but associated with microwaves. Microwaves are the preferred medium for communication, over radiowaves, due to their superior penetrative properties.

19. What is all this really about? Imagine the field around a magnet and imagine ordinary everyday static electricity. If you put the force field from the magnet with the force field from the static electricity you make a wave. This wave is called an electromagnetic wave. There are lots of different types of electromagnetic waves but they are all made of the same two things, magnetic and static fields. The main difference between these waves is their wavelength or the

# PHYSICIAN'S STATEMENT

(Please type or print)

Texas Transportation Code §521.125 allows the Texas Department of Public Safety to include on an individual's driver license or identification card any health condition that may impede the individual's communication with a peace officer. The health condition must be evidenced by this signed statement from a licensed physician. Medical information provided under this program is not protected and is subject to release under the Public Information Act. By providing this information, the health condition as stated on this form will be printed on the reverse side of the driver license or identification card.

Patient's Full Name: Jesus Mendoza

Patient's Date of Birth: 05/24/1954

Patient's DL/ID#: 

Physician: ALFRED R. JOHNSON

Physician's Address: 997 Hampshire Lane Richardson, Texas 75080


Physician's Office Telephone No.: (972) 479 0400 ; 800 807 7555

Medical License No.: \_\_\_\_\_ State: \_\_\_\_\_

Health Condition: Electrohypersensitivity and Chemical sensitivity


Patient's Signature: Jesus Mendoza

Date: 6/17/2016

Physician's Signature: 

Date: 1/1

*Impeach  
impediment  
to when exposed to wireless radiation or chemical odors*  
TX ID No.



Jesus Mendoza



# LAURA HINOJOSA

## HIDALGO COUNTY DISTRICT CLERK

### Juror Disability & Medical Certification Form

Your response to the juror questionnaire qualified you to serve as a prospective juror with the exception of a disability or medical claim. As a result, your name has been placed in a deferred status.

Present this form to your physician to be completed and returned to our office within 15 days of notification. Otherwise, your name will be placed back in the jury pool for summons in the near future. A judge will review your certification form upon receipt and take the appropriate action. If your claim is denied, you will receive a summons at a later date.

I authorize my physician to release the following medical information regarding my health.

*Jesus Mendoza*  
Juror Signature

100439587  
Juror Badge ID

(956) 583-7012  
Juror Phone No.

26 Nov. 2018  
Date

INSTRUCTIONS TO PHYSICIAN Please complete, sign and return to your patient. The above named person is a patient of mine and he/she suffers from the following illness/disability which would preclude him/her from serving on jury duty at this time (state illness/disability):

Life-threatening Electrohypersensitivity and Chemical hypersensitivity.

In my medical opinion he/she (check one):

- will be able to serve in 90 days.
- will be able to serve in 6 months.
- will be able to serve in 1 year.
- will permanently be unable to serve

I state to the Court that the above information is true and correct.

*Alfred Johnson*  
Physician's Signature

11/28/18  
Date

Alfred Johnson MD  
Physician's Printed Name

972-479-0400  
Physician's Phone No.

#### FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

- deferred 90 days
- deferred 6 months
- deferred 1 year
- EXCUSED

Jury Administration

76

**ENTERED**

March 30, 2023

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MCALLEN DIVISION

JESUS MENDOZA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

KILOLO KIJAKAZI, *et al.*,

Defendants.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§


CIVIL ACTION NO. 7:22-CV-00085

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME**

Now before the Court is Plaintiff Jesus Mendoza’s Motion for Extension of Time to File Objections to the Report and Recommendation. (Dkt. No. 54). After considering the Motion, the Court **GRANTS** it.

It is, therefore, **ORDERED** that Plaintiff shall have until April 28, 2023 to file objections to the Report and Recommendation.

SO ORDERED March 30, 2023, at McAllen, Texas.



Randy Crane  
Chief United States District Judge



57

**ENTERED**

May 01, 2023

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MCALLEN DIVISION

JESUS MENDOZA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

KILOLO KIJAKAZI, *et al.*,

Defendants.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 7:22-CV-00085

**ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME**

Now before the Court is Plaintiff Jesus Mendoza's Second Motion for Extension of Time to File Objections to the Report and Recommendation. (Dkt. No. 56). After considering the Motion, the Court **GRANTS** it.

It is, therefore, **ORDERED** that Plaintiff shall have until June 27, 2023, to file objections to the Report and Recommendation.

SO ORDERED May 1, 2023, at McAllen, Texas.



Randy Crane  
Chief United States District Judge

Vol 1  
15 Dec 2017

CAUSE NO. E-15911-III-A

v.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

92ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT

HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS.

FILED  
AT 11:13 O'CLOCK

Jesus Mendoza v. Ken Paxton.

JUL 24 2017  
LAURA HINOJOSA, CLERK  
District Court Hidalgo County  
By Deputy #43

PRO-SE RESPONDENT COUNTER-PETITIONER MOTION TO APPEAR BY PHONE TO COURT.  
EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION REQUESTED.

I respectfully request the Court to allow me appearing by phone to the hearing set in this Court for July 26, 2017 at 9:00 am and to any other hearings before this Court on the ground that a life-threatening disability does not permit that I appear in person to Court; on the ground that the State of Texas and the Texas Attorney General have admitted to this Court the legitimacy of my life-threatening disability; on the ground that the U.S. Supreme Court in Tennessee v. Lane 541 U.S. 509 (2004) required that State Courts provides reasonable accommodations to persons with disabilities to access and petition the Courts; and on the ground that allowing me to appear by phone is a reasonable accommodation to comply with the 13th Court of Appeals Order dated June 30, 2017 to have a hearing pursuant to Tex. R. App. P. 34.6(f)(4), and 37.3(a)(1) as explained herein.

DECLARATION

1. My name is Jesus Mendoza, my date of birth \_\_\_\_\_ and my address is 2202 E. 28th St. Mission, Texas 78574.
2. I declare under penalty of perjury that I am of sound mind and competent to make this Declaration and that the facts stated in this Declaration are within my personal knowledge and are true and correct.
3. I am suffering of a painful, debilitating, and life-threatening Electro-hypersensitivity and of chemical sensitivity.
4. Exposure to the radiation found inside buildings, including the radiation emitted by power lines, electric transformers and motors, fluorescent lights, Wi-Fi transmitters, cell phones, and wireless computers causes me among other things, swelling of vital organs, swelling of face, head and eyes, flu and allergy symptoms, rashes and loss of skin, symptoms of heart attack and of stroke, and breathing, speech, hearing, concentration and memory problems. Exposure to the chemicals found inside buildings causes me some of the same health problems.
5. The delayed effects of radiation exposures have been catastrophic. I have a history of life-threatening swelling of heart, and the swelling inside my skull has been so severe as to push an eye out.

of its socket.

6. I cannot be inside my home but for a few minutes at a time, and I stay in a metal room and in an underground room in the backyard. with exception of some walks around the neighborhood during the year 2011 and walks to the neighbors, I have been home bound for more than 6 years.
7. I have been violently ill several times. At times, I struggle to breathe even with oxygen. I am in pain all the time. Everyday is different nightmare.
8. My Electrohypersensitivity has been recognized as a physical medical condition and as a disability by federal and State agencies and by Courts.
9. On November 8, 2016, on a hearing before this Court on the State of Texas' Motion to enforce by incarceration alleged support orders, in which I was allowed to appear by phone, my Court appointed attorney, Ms. Teressa Coronado read to the Court my doctor's letter which states that it is medically necessary that I be allowed to appear by phone to Court and details how my life-threatening Electrohypersensitivity and my chemical sensitivity do not permit that I appear in person to Court and stating that if I am confined inside a building or in other places where there is radiation I could suffer irreversible harm,

including death. A copy of my doctor's letter is attached as Exhibit A.

10. In response, the State of Texas, through the Defendant the Texas Attorney General, Ken Paxton, allowed my doctor's letter into evidence and withdrew his motion to enforce by incarceration the alleged support orders, admitting to the legitimacy of my Electro-sensitivity and chemical sensitivity as physical medical conditions and as disabilities; admitting to my inability to appear in person to Court; and admitting to the seriousness of my health condition.

11. At no time, the State of Texas nor Defendant Paxton have opposed my Motions to appear by phone to judicial proceedings.

12. For all these reasons, I respectfully request the Court to allow me appearing by phone to judicial proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,

*Jesus Mendoza* 24 July, 2017.

Jesus Mendoza, Pro-se Respondent Counter-Petitioner  
2202 E. 28th St. Mission, Texas 78574 Tel. (956)583-7012

Certificate of Service:

I certify that on 25 July 2017 I sent a copy of the above and proposed orders by hand delivery or by mail to counsel of record, Norma Ortiz, 3508 N. Jackson Rd. Ste. 600 Pharr, Texas 78577-8643;  
2202 E. 28th St. Mission, Texas 78574 Tel.

RX Date/Time 11/03/2016 16:34 972 479 9435

P.002

972-479-9435

Johnson Medical Assoc.

03:34:07 p.m. 11-03-2016

2/2



**JOHNSON MEDICAL ASSOCIATES**  
Comprehensive Medicine | Traditional | Holistic | Alternative

October 28, 2016

RE: Jesus Mendoza

To Whom It May Concern:

The above patient has been under my care for many years. He is currently suffering from severe hypersensitivities to chemical fumes, odors, and a life-threatening electro-hypersensitivity.

It is medically necessary that he be allowed to appear by phone for court. Please allow him to have phone conferencing as he is unable to tolerate the building. If this patient appears in person in court, his speech, concentration, memory, and hearing will be severely impaired. If he is confined inside a building or in other places where there is radiation, he could suffer irreversible harm including death.

Exposure to sources of radiation emitted by power lines, electric transformers and motors, computers, fluorescent lights, and wireless devices, causes Mr. Mendoza severe pain, swelling of vital organs, breathing difficulties, as well as speech, concentration, memory, and hearing deficits. Also, exposure to some chemicals, fumes, and odors can cause the same health effects as listed.

If you have any questions regarding this please, please feel free to contact my office.

Sincerely Yours

Alfred R. Johnson, D.O.  
AU:cnl

**RESPONDENT'S EXHIBIT**

ET # L  
Re # L  
mendoza

2

997 Hampshire Lane - Richardson, Texas 75080 • (972) 479-0400  
Fax (972) 479-9435 • (800) 807-7555

CAUSE NO. P-1591-11--A

FILED  
AT 11 O'CLOCK M

IN THE DISTRICT COURT SEP 27 2018

LATRA MINOJOSA, CLERK  
District Courts, Hidalgo County  
92ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
By [Signature] Deputy#1

Jesus Mendoza v. Kerr Paxton;

HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS.

ORDER SETTING HEARING AND ORDER GRANTING RESPONDENT COUNTER-PETITIONER'S MOTION TO APPEAR BY PHONE TO HEARING.

Hearing on the Motion for an Order to the Clerk to Issue a Subpoena to the 92nd District Court Judge Luis M. Singletary is set for December 6, 2018 @ 1:30 pm

Pro-se Respondent Counter-Petitioner, Jesus Mendoza is allowed to appear by phone to this hearing as accommodation to his disability.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Nov 27, 2018

[Signature]  
PRESIDING JUDGE

cc:  
Office of the Attorney General: [casd-legal-316@texasattorneygeneral.gov](mailto:casd-legal-316@texasattorneygeneral.gov) Norma Ortiz, 3508  
N. Jackson Rd, Ste. 600 Pharr, Texas: 78577-8644;  
Jesus Mendoza, 2202 E. 28th St. Mission, Texas 78574 Tel. (956)583-7012;

CAUSE NO. F-1591-III-A

FILED  
AT 11:00 O'CLOCK M

SEP 27 2018

IN THE DISTRICT COURT  
LAURA RINCONA, CLERK  
District Court, Hidalgo County  
92ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT Deputy#1  
By \_\_\_\_\_  
HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS.

Ken Paxton v. Jesus Mendoza,

Jesus Mendoza v. Ken Paxton,


ORDER SETTING HEARING ON MOTION TO WAIVE SUBPOENAS FEES

Hearing on Pro-se Respondent Counter Petitioner Jesus Mendoza Motion to Waive Subpoenas Fees is set for December 4, 2018 @ 1:30 pm

Pro-se Respondent Counter Petitioner Jesus Mendoza is allowed to appear by phone to the hearing as accommodation to his disability.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

27 Nov 2018

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
PRESIDING JUDGE

cc: Norma Ortiz, 3508 N. Jackson Rd. Ste. 600 Pharr, Texas 78577-8643  
toll. (800)252-8014;  
Jesus Mendoza, 2202 E. 28th St. McAllen, Texas 78574 Toll. (956)583-7012;



CAUSE NO. E-1591-111-A

FILED  
AT 12:47 O'CLOCK P.M.

CLERK  
District Courts, Hidalgo County  
GRAND JUDICIAL DISTRICT No. 118  
HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS.

Ken Paxton v. Jesus Mendoza,  
Jesus Mendoza v. Ken Paxton,

ORDER SETTING HEARING ON MOTION TO REVOKE JUDGE DAVID SANCHEZ AND ORDER GRANTING PRO SE  
RESPONDENT COUNTER PETITIONER MOTION TO APPEAR BY PHONE TO THE HEARING

Hearing on Motion to Revoke Judge Sanchez is set for December 6, 2018 @ 1:30 p.m

After consideration of Pro-se Respondent Counter Petitioner Jesus Mendoza's Motion to  
appear by phone to the hearing on the Motion to Revoke Judge David Sanchez, as  
accommodation to Mendoza's disability, the Court finds the Motion with merit and should  
be granted. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Jesus Mendoza be allowed to appear by phone to  
the hearing on the Motion to Revoke Judge David Sanchez.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

27 NOV 2018

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
PRESIDING JUDGE

cc: Norma Ortiz, 3508 N. Jackson Rd. Ste. 600, Pharr, Texas 78577-8643  
tel. (800)252-8014;  
Jesus Mendoza, 2202 E. 28th St. Mission, Texas 78574 Tel. (956)583-7012;

CAUSE No C-013-08-I  
THE STATE OF TEXAS

In Re, Jesus Mendoza

IN THE DISTRICT COURT  
OF HIDALGO, TEXAS,  
197<sup>th</sup> JUDICIAL DISTRICT

398<sup>th</sup>

Honorable Migdalia Lopez  
District Judge

**PETITIONER'S MOTION TO APPEAR BY TELEPHONIC  
CONFERENCE TO JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS**

Now Comes Pro-se Petitioner and respectfully requests this Court leave to appear by telephonic conference to hearings, depositions, trial and other judicial proceedings before this Court as a reasonable accommodation to Petitioner's disability.

1. Petitioner, Jesus Mendoza, suffers of a severe medically recognized disability that impairs among other things his ability to stay inside buildings for extended periods of time. Exposure to radiation emitted by power lines, flourescent lights, video display terminals, air-conditioning motors, cellular telephones, etc., causes Petitioner among other things swelling of vital organs, internal bleeding, breathing problems, loss of concentration and speech impairment. Petitioner's ability to work, has been substantially impaired. Petitioner has been violently ill several times, Petitioner is in pain all the time. Please See Statement of Disability and Decision of the Social Security Administration finding Petitioner's electromagnetic sensitivity a severe impairment, and a photo showing the swelling on Petitioner's face. Please See Exhibits "1", "2", and "3".

Petitioner has filed in this Court a Petition to remove from the bench Justice of the Peace Ismael "Melo" Ochoa on the ground that Judge Ochoa has engaged in

judicial misconduct. Petitioner requires accommodations to his disability during discovery and prosecution of the Petition, to prevent further aggravation of Petitioner's health condition and to prevent that others may take advantage of Petitioner's disability.

ARGUMENT

Appearing by telephonic conference to hearings, depositions, and other judicial proceedings before this Court is a reasonable accommodation to Petitioner's disability. The American with Disabilities Act and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, require that the courts provide reasonable accommodations to the disabled on judicial proceedings. Accommodations to Petitioner's disability during judicial proceedings are necessary to provide Petitioner access to the courts and due process. The due process right of access to the courts, and the right to petition the government with grievances applies to persons in extraordinary circumstances. *In Re Primus*, 436 US 412, 98 S Ct. 1893, (1978), *Bounds v Smith*, 430 US 817, 97 S Ct. 1491 (1977).

RELIEF

For all of the above reasons, Petitioner respectfully requests this Court to set hearing on this matter by telephonic conference at the Court's earliest convenience and that upon hearing, the Court grants Petitioner's Motion for Special accommodations to Petitioner's disability during judicial proceedings, including appearing by telephone to hearings, depositions, trial, and other judicial proceedings, and provide Petitioner any appropriate remedy at law or in equity that Petitioner is entitled. Respectfully submitted,

Jesus Mendoza  
Jesus Mendoza, Pro-se Petitioner 2202 E. 28th Street, Mission, Texas 78474,  
Tel. 956/ 519 7140

327

CAUSE No C-013-08-I  
THE STATE OF TEXAS

In Re, Jesus Mendoza

IN THE DISTRICT COURT  
OF HIDALGO, TEXAS,  
~~19<sup>th</sup>~~<sup>308<sup>th</sup></sup> JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Honorable Migdalia Lopez  
District Judge

ORDER

On this 9<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2008, came to be considered the forgoing Petitioner's Motion for Leave to Appear by Telephonic Conference to Judicial Proceedings including Hearings, Depositions, and Trial, In the above styled and numbered cause. After considering the Motion, the Court is the opinion that the Petitioner's Motion is with merit and should in all things be granted. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion for Leave to Appear by Telephonic Conference to Judicial Proceedings including Hearings, Depositions, and Trial be GRANTED.

Done this 9<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2008.

Migdalia Lopez  
PRESIDING JUDGE

1092

A 7 F

SEARCHED

754

CAUSE No. C-013-08-I  
THE STATE OF TEXAS

In Re, Jesus Mendoza

IN THE DISTRICT COURT  
OF HIDALGO, TEXAS,  
318 JUDICIAL DISTRICT

**ORDER**

On this 25<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2008, came to be considered the forgoing Petitioner's Motion for Leave to Appear by Telephonic Conference to Judicial Proceedings including Hearings, Depositions, and Trial, In the above styled and numbered cause. After considering the Motion, the Court is the opinion that the Petitioner's Motion is with merit and should in all things be granted. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion for Leave to Appear by Telephonic Conference to ~~Judicial Proceedings including Hearings, Depositions, and Trial~~ for hearing on the Motion for Respite be GRANTED.

Done this 25<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2008.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
PRESIDING JUDGE

Copies to: Petitioner, Jesus Mendoza, 2202 E. 28<sup>th</sup> St. Mission, Texas, 78574, Tel. (956)519-7166. and to Justice of the Peace Ismael "Melo" Ochoa Justice of the Peace Court, Pct. 3 Pl. 2, 730 Breyfogle Suite A, Mission, Texas, 78574, Tel. (956)581-2124.

1083

SCANNED 755

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

September 23, 2004

Charles R. Fulbrun  
Clerk

No. 04-40095  
Summary Calendar

JESUS MENDOZA MALDONADO,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

JOHN ASHCROFT, U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL,

Defendant-Appellee.

-----  
Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Southern District of Texas  
USDC No. M-03-CV-38  
-----

Before REAVLEY, WIENER and BENAVIDES, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Jesus Mendoza Maldonado appeals from the dismissal of his complaint as frivolous pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i), arguing that his motion to recuse the magistrate judge was erroneously denied. We affirm.

Maldonado's argument that the magistrate judge was without authority to refer the motion to recuse to the district judge is frivolous; if the issue of a judge recusing herself arises either through a motion to recuse under § 455 or an affidavit of

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

prejudice under § 144, the judge has the option to either transfer the matter to another judge for decision or determine it herself. See Doddy v. Oxy USA, Inc., 101 F.3d 448, 458 n.7 (5th Cir. 1996).

Maldonado's motion for recusal was based solely on conclusional allegations of prejudice stemming from adverse rulings. Adverse judicial rulings alone, however, do not support an allegation of bias under 28 U.S.C. §§ 455 or 144, and, therefore, the denial of the motion was not an abuse of discretion. See Liteky v. United States, 510 U.S. 540, 555. (1994); Matassarini v. Lynch, 174 F.3d 549, 571 (5th Cir. 1999). Maldonado did not raise in his initial brief the ultimate issue whether his claims lacked an arguable basis in fact, and, therefore, that issue is not considered. See Cinel v. Connick, 15 F.3d 1338, 1345 (5th Cir. 1994). Furthermore, as the magistrate judge pointed out in her report of July 14, 2003, no causal connection is set forth, or is conceivable, between Maldonado's electromagnetic hypersensitivity and the Attorney General of the United States.

AFFIRMED.

PARENTS ALERT (March, 2024).

RE: COMPLAINT OF DISABILITY DISCRIMINATION.

KRISTEN CLARKE

U.S. Dept. of Justice, Civil Rights Division,  
950 Pennsylvania Ave. NW Washington, D.C. 20530-0001

Dear Ms. Clarke,

I respectfully request your office as accommodation to my disability Electrohypersensitivity EHS, and to the disabilities of those similarly situated to compel the U.S. Dept. of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), the U.S. Dept. of Justice (DOJ), and the U.S. Dept. of Education to cease and desist from treating EHS different from other disabilities.

On March 31, 2017, HUD's Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Programs Mr. Timothy M. Smyth, issued a Memo stating in part:

"The Department has seen several cases around the country dealing with smart meters, radio frequency (RF) or electromagnetic frequency (EMF) issues. Cases typically concern persons who allege to have disabling sensitivity to RF or EMF fields."

"The Department of Energy and the Department of Justice have also received numerous complaints dealing with these issues and have informed FHEO that they will not open investigations under Section 504 on these allegations."

"After consultation with OGC-Fair Housing, it has been decided that, at this time, FHEO will not accept, as jurisdictional, allegations dealing with Smart Meters, RF and/or EMF issues. Should circumstances change in the future with respect to medical or legal opinions relating to these types of cases, the Department may reevaluate this position; but for now, FHEO Intake should not accept these types of allegations and any complaints already accepted should be closed accordingly."

On February of 2023, the head of HUD Regional Office in Oregon North West Mr. Andrew Tarver confirmed that the HUD Memo is still in effect.



Treating Electrohypersensitivity EHS, different from other medical conditions denies the EHS disabled access to the benefits offered by public entities in violation of the Rehabilitation Act, of the Americans with Disabilities Act and of the Fair Housing Act.

In Alexander v. Choate, the U.S. Supreme Court acknowledged that to avoid disparate-impact discrimination (To avoid treating disabilities different), a federal grantee must make 'reasonable accommodations' in its program or benefit to 'otherwise qualified handicapped individuals' in order to assure them 'meaningful access to the benefit that the grantee offers'.

469 U.S. 287, 301 (1985).

The Court in Choate found that a recipient of federal financial assistance cannot offer a qualified handicapped person an opportunity to participate in or benefit from aid, program or service that is not equal to that afforded to others. Id. 45 C.F.R. 84.4(b)(1)(ii).

HUD has admitted that like other disabilities, Environmental Illnesses (EIs), which include EHS, are qualified medical determinable physical disabilities that meet the jurisdictional requirements to receive the benefits offered by public entities.

In 1992, HUD's General Counsel recognized EIs as physical disabilities stating in part:

"if a person is disabled under the Social Security Act, a fortiori,<sup>+</sup> he or she is handicapped under the Fair Housing Act."

Legal Opinion: GME-0009 (Emphasis mine)

+ (With more force)

The fact that Electrohypersensitivity EHS, is a medically determinable physical severe impairment and a disability that meets the jurisdictional requirements has been proven as a matter of law. +++

A Joint Statement of the U.S. Dept. of Housing and of the U.S. Dept. of Justice states in part:

"Persons who meet the definition of disability for purposes of receiving Supplemental Security Income ("SSI") or Social Security Disability Insurance ("SSDI") benefits in most cases meet the definition of disability under the Fair Housing Act, although the converse may not be true. See e.g., Cleveland v. Policy Management Systems Corp., 526 U.S. 795 (1999)"

Page 13, Note 10, May 17, 2004.

At several times the Social Security has found that EHS is a medically determinable severe physical impairment and disability under the Social Security Act.

Individuals that have been entitled to receive Social Security disability benefits based on their EHS include:

Anne Mills, Summary of EHS by Dr. Erica Mallery-Blythe (2014) page 4;

Berth Sturdivant, Back Yard Secret Exposed (2014);

And Arthur Firstenberg, Affidavit to Cellular Phone Taskforce v. FCC, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, Case No. 97-4328

+++ I have been homebound for more than 12 years with life-threatening EHS. My EHS has been recognized by federal and State agencies and by Courts as a physical medical condition and as a disability as detailed by the Statement of Physical Disabilities posted on the Case Law Documents of J. Mendoza at wirelesswatchblog.org

Under the Regulations of the Social Security Act, an impairment "must be established by objective medical evidence from an acceptable medical source." "After we establish that you have a medically determinable impairment(s), then we determine whether your impairment (s) is severe." 20 C.F.R. 404.1521 (Emphasis mine)

"Under the Commissioner's regulations, a severe impairment is 'any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limit (a claimant's ) physical or mental ability to do basic work activities.' 20 C.F.R. § 404.1520(c)." Martinez v. Kijakazi, 2022 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 177054, page 33. +++

"The ability to do basic work activities is defined as having 'the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs.' 20 C.F.R. § 404.1521

- (b). These abilities and aptitudes include
- (1) physical functions as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying or handling;
  - (2) capacities for seeing, hearing and speaking;
  - (3) understanding, carrying out and remembering simple instructions;
  - (4) use of judgment;
  - (5) responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
  - (6) dealing with changes in a routine setting. Id. § 404.1521(b)(1)(6); See Bowen v. Yuckert, 482 U.S. 137, 141, 107 S. Ct. 2287, 96 L. Ed. 119 (1987)." Stout v. Colvin, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 110479 page 5. (Emphasis mine)

+++ Twice, the Social Security has found my EHS a medically determinable physical severe impairment that significantly limits my ability to perform basic work activities as detailed by the Statement of Physical Disabilities posted at <http://www.wirelesswatcgblog.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/Statement-of-Physical-Disabilities-1.pdf>

The Decisions of the Social Security finding EHS a medically determinable severe physical impairment, prove as a matter of fact and as a matter of law that EHS has met the jurisdictional requirements of disability under the Rehabilitation Act, under the ADA and under the FHA.

Under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act the term "disability" is defined as:

- A- A physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities of such individual;
  - B- A record of such impairment; or
  - C- Being regarded as having such an impairment.
- 29 U.S.C 705(9)(B).

"The language of Title II of the ADA generally tracks the language of Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act and Title II of the ADA specifically provided that the remedies, procedures and rights available under Section 504 shall be the same as those available under Title II of the ADA. As such, jurisprudence interpreting either section is applicable to both."

Hainz v. Richards, 2017 F.3d. 795,799 (5th Cir. 2000), citing 42 U.S.C.. 12133.

The definition of disability under the FHA is essentially the same as the definition of disability under the Rehabilitation Act. 42 U.S.C. 3602h

Under the ADA, major life activities include, but are not limited to:

Caring for oneself; performing manual tasks; seeing; hearing; eating; sleeping; walking; standing; lifting; bending; speaking; breathing; learning; reading; concentrating; thinking; communicating; and working.

42 U.S.C. 12102(2)(A).

The Social Security determinations that EHS is a severe physical impairment that significantly limit the ability to perform basic work activities under 20 C.F.R. § 404.1521(b)(1-6) include major life activities under the Rehabilitation Act, the ADA and the FHA.

42 U.S.C. 12102(2)(A) (In fact, they are essentially the same).

"A disability may 'substantially limit' a major life-activity when the individual in question suffers 'a significant<sup>n</sup> restriction' as to the condition, manner or duration under which (he) can perform (the) major life-activity, as compared to that of the average person in the general population." E.E.O.C. v. American Tool & Mold Inc, 21 Supp.3d 1268, 74 (M.D. Fla. 2014). (Emphasis mine)

Then, the Social Security determinations that EHS significantly limit the ability to perform basic work activities prove as a matter of fact and as a matter of law that EHS has been found to be a physical disability under the Rehabilitation Act, the ADA and the FHA.

The Congressional mandate to HUD is to prevent disability discrimination in housing. The Congressional mandate for the DOJ is to enforce the ADA to prevent that public entities engage in disability discrimination. The Congressional mandate for the U.S. Dept. of Education is to enforce the Rehabilitation Act to prevent that public entities, including schools engage in disability discrimination against children and others. Then, disability discrimination against the EHS disabled is an abuse of power that defeats the Congressional mandate.


Furthermore, on many cases, survival for the EHS disabled depends on accommodations in housing, on emergency and other health care and on benefits offered by federal, State and local entities. Then, promoting, advocating and perpetuating discrimination against the EHS disabled is in violation of the EHS disabled Due Process right to life, liberty and property. See [WeAreTheEvidence.org](http://WeAreTheEvidence.org)

For these reasons, I respectfully request your office to compel HUD to rescind and withdraw the illegal Memo, to compel the named agencies to cease and desist from their discrimination against the EHS disabled and to provide a copy of this Complaint to the respective oversight Congressional Committees.

Your prompt response to this matter can save immense pain and suffering and the lives of children and of other defenseless victims disabled by EHS.

Should you have questions or need more information, I will be happy to oblige.

Respectfully submitted,

 4 March 2024  
Jesus Mendoza

2202 E. 28th St. Mission, Texas 78574 Tel. (956)583-7012

EMERGENCY RESPONDERS ALERT I..

THE ROOT CAUSE OF SUICIDE ON POLICE OFFICERS.

The same wireless/microwave radiation reaching police officers at work has caused Electrohypersensitivity and other catastrophic and irreversible harm and disabilities to children, teachers, doctors, attorneys, scientists, police officers, fire fighters and even to a Director of the World Health Organization. See [wearetheevidence.org](http://wearetheevidence.org)

Exposure to power levels of radiation above the federal safety limits causes harm even to healthy and strong adults. (1)

The cell phones and other wireless devices used by police officers emit power levels of radiation above the federal safety limits. (2)

Out of 55 police officers that routinely placed wireless devices on their laps, 53 suffered testicular cancer and some had to be castrated. (3)

Police officers are exposed at work to more than 250,000 uW/m<sup>2</sup> (microwatts per square meter) of microwave radiation and exposure to less than 150,000 uW/m<sup>2</sup> can cause Electrohypersensitivity, and brain, eye, blood, nerve, heart and DNA damage even to the children of police officers. (4)

Exposure to less than 10,000 uW/m<sup>2</sup> of microwave radiation breaks the brain blood-barrier allowing toxics on the blood stream, including pain killers, vaccines and other medication to enter and damage the brain which in turn can cause anxiety, depression, aggressiveness and impairment of judgment during emergencies. (5)

Microwave radiation has caused fire fighters symptoms of brain damage, including confusion, inability to focus and "brain fog" and depression. (6)

Chronic exposure to radiation increases by more than 150% the risk of suicide. (7)

Chronic exposure to radiation increases by more than 500% the risk of cancer. (8)

Despite of the thousands of peer-reviewed scientific studies documenting the harm caused by exposure to wireless/microwave radiation, and despite that the harm caused by radiation is reaching pandemic levels, most doctors are in the dark or in denial and continue misdiagnosing and mistreating with harmful drugs and harmful and unnecessary invasive procedures the harm being caused by exposure to wireless/microwave radiation. (9).

Officers with suicidal tendencies keep them to themselves for fear of losing their gun, their job and even their license. To make things worse, nobody is protecting our police officers from the harm caused by exposures to radiation at work. (10)

PRAGMATICAL MEASURES TO PREVENT SUICIDE AND OTHER HARM TO EMERGENCY RESPONDERS INCLUDE:

- A)). Using the same mitigating technologies used by the Secret Service and by the Border Patrol;
- B)). Measuring officers' exposures to radiation at work and at home;
- C)). Training officers to avoid unnecessary exposures to radiation at work and at home; and
- D)). Periodic examinations by doctors with expertise in Electrohypersensitivity and in the harm caused by exposure to radiation.

SHARING THIS INFORMATION WITH EMERGENCY RESPONDERS AND WITH LOCAL, STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATORS AND JUDGES CAN SAVE LIVES.

If more information is needed, I will be happy to oblige.

Respectfully,

*Jesus Mendoza* 2 Aug., 2020.  
Jesus Mendoza

2202 E. 28th St., Mission, Texas 78574 Tel. (956) 583-7012.

(The medical records, pictures and other information attached herein are non-confidential and can be shared with interested parties).



## THE EVIDENCE OF HARM.

1. "These exposures can create tissue heating that is well known to be harmful in even very short-term doses." pg 6 of Section I of the Bioinitiative Report (2007).
2. On Oct. of 2015, San Fco. US District Judge, Edward Chen corroborated the fact that cell phones emit power levels of microwave above the federal safety limits.  
Cell phones emit power levels of microwave radiation more than 5 times the federal safety limits. Popular cell phones tested for radiofrequency radiation, the McAllen Monitor Aug. 25, 2019 at 11A.

Cell phones also emit power levels of ULF/ELF radiation above the federal safety limits.

The federal safety limits for ELF radiation is 904 mG, and the occupational exposure levels range from 2 to 10 mG. Section I of the Bioinitiative Report (2007) pgs 8, 11.

Cell phones can emit more than 975 mG of ULF/ELF radiation.

L. Hardell and Carlberg, Mobile Phones, Cordless Phones and the Risk of Brain Cancer, Intl. Journal of Oncology 35 n 1, July 2009.

Despite that cell phones and wireless computers emit power levels of radiation above the federal safety limits, both, the FCC and the FDA have refused to protect the people from these harmful exposures. FCC Cell Phone Safety Limit Enforcement Probed, Electromagnetic Health Blog 10.01.2017; Health Policy Groups Question FCC<sup>o</sup> Allowing Manufacturer Violation of Its Cell Phone Microwave Radiation Exposure Limit. electromagnetic health.org 12/12/2018; READ THE FINE PRINT, entrust.org Microwave radiation below the FCC limits caused brain damage to fire fighters. Firefighters Living Next to Cell Towers Suffer Neurological Damage, Scinetists for Wired Tech.

3. Out of 55 cases of testicular cancer among police officers, 53 routinely placed their radar gun on their laps. Ronald A. Giroux, Daubert v. Merrel Dow: Is This What the BMP Doctor Ordered? 12 Pace Env'tl. Rev. 393 (1994) note 94. \*(In the state of Connecticut)

By the time police officers sought funding for a study on the cause of their testicular cancer, the number of police officers with testicular cancer increased to 189. Microwave News Sept/Oct 1992.

It appears that the Wireless Industrial Cartels derailed funding for the study. However, 7 years ago, a study found that only 4 hours of exposure to the microwave radiation emitted by a laptop caused DNA damage to human sperm, which is a marker for pre-cancer. Avendano et al, 2012.

Note 1. ( Cell phones can expose the user to more than 11 times the FCC Safety Standards, Gandhi, et al, 2019).

4. The San Fco. EarthLink Wi-Fi Network (2007), The Bioinitiative Reports (2007, 2012), and the Health Effects of Microwave Radiation Frequency Transmissions by Dr. Paul Dart (2013) collectively cite more than 2000 peer-reviewed scientific studies of the harm caused by exposure to the same power levels of microwave radiation reaching police officers at work.

Exposure to microwave radiation can cause severe harm to the unborn children of police officers. A Wellness Guide for the Digital Age by Kerry Crofton PhD. (2014) pgs 27,41, citing among other studies Bazavinasab et al (2014); Lowenthal et al, (2007); Infante et al (2003); Nakamici et al (2009); Bellieni et al, (2012).

Sections 18 and 19 of the Bioinitiative Report (2012).

In my family, several children were born with brain damage. The common thing in these children is that their mothers were users of cell phones while pregnant. The number of children with brain damage has increased from 1 in 10,000 to 1 in less than 50, and experts warn that the use of wireless devices by children and pregnant women is bound to leave no child without brain damage and that by the time parents become aware of this danger, we are bound to lose many children. Crofton pgs 14,15,39, id; Autism Awareness in the Valley, the McAllen Monitor April 6, 2014 at 13D.

5. Neilly and Lin 1986; Oscar and Hawkins 1997; Salford et al, 1994,1997, 2003; Alberts 1997; Aubinsaw and Toere 2002,2003; Shirmacher 1999, 2000; Wang 2015. Sections 10 and 20 of the Bioinitiative Report 2012.

See letter of the German Environmental Physician Initiative at pgs 385-390 of Kerry Crofton PhD. Radiation Rescue (2009).

6. San Fco. EarthLink Wi-Fi Network (2007) pg 16; Brief of the Intl. Association of Fire Fighters to the US Supreme Court Case No. 04-1515.

7. Carleigh Cooper, Cell Phones and the Dark Deception (2009) pg 97, citing Perry F.S. et al, Environmental Power Frequency Magnetic Fields and Suicide (1981). Out of 6,000 workers exposed to occupational levels of ELF radiation (2-10 mG), 536 workers died by suicide, Savitz et al, 2000. (More than one suicide for every 12 workers)  
Chronic exposure to the same microwave radiation reaching police officers at work can cause anxiety, depression, aggressiveness and suicide. See Martin Pall, Microwave Frequency Electromagnetic Fields (EMF's) Produce Widespread Neuropsychiatric Effects Including Depression. Journal of Chemical Neuroanatomy 75 pt. B (2016): 43-51-

8). Section I of the Bioinitiative Reports (2007, 2012, 2012, 2020).

9). "Errors in diagnosis and treatment of diseases are common in physicians ignoring the principles of environmental medicine. They should be aware that various tests developed since the early 1980s 'take the guess work out of the diagnosis' of chemical and electromagnetic hypersensitivity, writes Dr William J. Rea in a new paper published in Reviews on Environmental Health." Medical pioneer writes a history of environmental hypersensitivities, Andre Fautex, 2016.

A medical doctor testified to the Massachusetts legislature how if her children had not been evaluated by doctors with expertise in the harm caused by radiation, her children could have spent the rest of their lives misdiagnosed, misedicated and mistreated for the harm caused on them by the compulsory exposures to microwave radiation at school; how her son, because of his aggressiveness, could have spent the rest of his life on a mental hospital; and how her children are the tip of the iceberg of the millions of children that are now being misdiagnosed, misedicated and mistreated for the harm caused by exposure to microwave radiation. (Document available upon request)

10). Keri Blakinger, Police Suicides May Be On The Rise But Many Agencies Aren't Tracking, April 16, 2018; Police Suicide May Be Rising, TCR Staff, April 8, 2019; Ashley Southall, 4 Officer Suicides in 3 Weeks; N.Y.P.D. Struggles to Dispel Mental Health Stigma, June 27, 2019.

For specific, concrete and undisputed medical, scientific and legal evidence on the record proving the fraud on the Courts to conceal the harm being caused by microwave radiation on children, teachers and on other innocent, defenseless and unsuspecting victims see Petition to Impeach 3 Federal Judges and other Criminal Complaints submitted to federal authorities and posted on the Case Law Section of wirelesswatchblog.org

(Including the Statement of Physical Disabilities; the Motion to Recuse 3 Federal Judges and the Criminal Complaint to the Special Prosecutions Division in Austin, Texas.

SHARING THIS EVIDENCE WITH YOUR CONTACTS, WITH OTHER EMERGENCY RESPONDERS AND WITH DOCTORS, ATTORNEYS, LEGISLATORS AND JUDGES CAN SAVE IMMENSE PAIN AND SUFFERING AND THE LIVES OF DEFENSELESS AND UNSUSPECTING VICTIMS.